IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

PETRO INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS, LLC,) Plaintiff.

VS.

Case No. 1:21-CV-00312

ISLAND PROJECT AND OPERATING SERVICES, LLC; VITOL US HOLDING II CO.; VITOL VIRGIN ISLANDS CORP.; ANDREW CANNING; and OPTIS EUROPE, LTD., Defendants.

THE ORAL DEPOSITION OF CHETRAM PERSUAD

was taken on the 22nd day of May, 2023, at the Law Offices of Beckstedt & Kuczynski, LLP, 2162 Church Street, Christiansted, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, and via Zoom teleconference, between the hours of 9:38 a.m. and 3:37 p.m., pursuant to Notice and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Reported by:

Susan C. Nissman RPR-RMR Registered Merit Reporter Caribbean Scribes, Inc. 1244 Queen Cross Street, Suite 1A Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 (340) 773-8161

A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S

For the Plaintiff:

Law Offices of Lee J. Rohn & Associates, LL 1108 King Street, Third Floor Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

Bv: Lee J. Rohn

For the Defendant Island Project and Operating Services, LLC:

Law Offices of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, LLC The Tunick Building, Suite 201 1336 Beltjen Road Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas U.S. Virgin Islands 00802

By: Simone R.D. Francis (Via Zoom)

For the Defendant Vitol US Holding II Co. and Vitol Virgin Islands Corp.:

Law Offices of Beckstedt & Kuczynski, LLP 2162 Church Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

By: Carl A. Beckstedt, III

4

APPEARANCES

For the Defendant Andrew Canning: Law Offices of Andrew C. Simpson 2191 Church Street, Suite 5 Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

By: Andrew C. Simpson(Via Zoom)

Also Present: Adrian Melendez, Jr.

INDEX

E-X-A-M-I-N-A-T-I-O-N					
Description	Counsel	Page			
Direct	by Mr. Beckstedt	5			
Cross	by Ms. Francis	124			
Cross	by Mr. Simpson	156			
Cross	by Ms. Rohn	168			
Redirect	by Mr. Beckstedt	173			
Recross	by Mr. Simpson	177			
Recross	by Ms. Francis	178			

E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S

Exhibit	Description	Page
17 -	Versa Report dated April 3, 2021	114

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHETRAM PERSUAD.

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified on his oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BECKSTEDT:

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Persuad, my name is Carl Beckstedt, and I represent Vitol Virgin Islands Corporation, and Vitol U.S. Holding II Company, which I'll refer to as Vitol in this deposition, okay?
 - A. Okay.
- All right. Could you please state your name for the record?
 - A. Chetram Persuad.
- Q. All right. Do you have any nicknames or false names that you go by?
 - A. A nickname would be Chad.
- Q. Now, Mr. Persuad, have you ever had your deposition taken before?
 - A. Never.
- Q. Okay. You're here today in my office, and it's an informal setting, but it is part of a court proceeding that's pending in the District Court of the Virgin Islands in St. Croix, so it's as if you're before the judge on the witness stand, subject to the penalty of perjury to tell the truth, and I'm going to ask you some questions regarding the

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

case that's before the Court, okay?

A. Okav.

The court reporter is taking down everything that's said as part of this proceeding, so it's important that we probably talk slower than I am right now, that we speak up loudly, and that we don't talk on top of each other, okay?

- A. Okay.
- Q. The last -- or two last instructions: One is, if you don't understand my question, please tell me, and I'll try to clarify it or rephrase it so that you do understand.

Fair enough?

- A. Yes.
- And also, is there any reason today, because of either an event in your life or illness, that you cannot devote your full attention, or are you prepared to proceed today with my questioning?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. You're prepared to proceed?
- Α.
 - Q. Okay. So, first of all, where do you currently reside?
 - On St. Croix, 39 Little Fountain. Α.
 - Okay. And how long have you lived at 39 Little Fountain?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

8

7

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Since '97.

Okay. And where are you originally from, if I Q. mav?

A. I was born in South America, Guyana, and I was raised in New York.

- Q. All right. And when did you first come to reside in the Virgin Islands?
 - I think it's 2000. Right after Hurricane Hugo. Α.
 - Q. Okay. Right after Hugo?
- Yeah. A.
 - So Hugo was 1989. Q.
 - '89. So that was 2000. Α.
 - That's missing ten years. Q.
 - A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 1990? Q.
 - A. 1990. Sorry, Yes.
- 17 Okay. All right. And did work bring you down Q. 18 post hurricane?
 - No. Came to visit a friend.
- Okay. And have you resided in St. Croix ever 20 Q. 21 since you came after Hurricane Hugo?
 - Yes.
 - All right. And where are you currently employed? 0.
 - At Petro Industrial. A.
 - And that's a company, if I understand, that's

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

owned by Mr. Adrian Melendez, Jr., who's present here today at the deposition, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. And how do you know Adrian Melendez?
- We worked at the refinery together.
 - Q. And when you say, "the refinery," which iteration of the refinery do you mean?
 - Α. Limetree Bav.
 - Q. Okay. And did you work for a different company at the Limetree Bay refinery?
 - No. We worked for the same company.
- 12 And what company was that? 0.
- 13 Α.
- 14 All right. And that was Jeff Nations' company?
- 15 That's correct. Α.
 - All right. And how long did you work at NIS? 0.
- 17 Roughly about three years. Α.
 - And what positions were you and Mr. Melendez in at NIS while you were working there?
 - A. I was superintendent of nights; and Mr. Melendez, superintendent day.
 - $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ He was in the same position as you at the daytime?
 - A. Yes.
- 24 Got it. 0.

All right. And -- and what years did you

Constrain 1 time 12 - WAL-EAH Document #: 3 to 15 to 16 to 1					
1	work at NIS with Mr. Melendez?	1	Q. Petro Industrial?		
2	A. I think it was '15, '16, '17.	2	A. Yeah.		
3	Q. All right. And after your when did you leave	3	Q. So if I accidently just call it Petro, I will mean		
4	NIS?	4	Petro Industrial, okay?		
5	A. Right after Inna and Maria.	5	A. I understand.		
6	Q. And where did you go after Irma and Maria?	6	Q. Thank you.		
7	A. I didn't go anyplace. Worked at my house, 'cause	7	Okay. And what was the job that what was		
8	it was badly damaged. And after that, started with	8	the position you were hired for at Petro Industrial in 2017?		
9	Mr. Melendez.	9	A. I was basically the field superintendent/manager.		
10	Q. Okay. So, in other words, you started with	10	Q. And what are the duties and responsibilities in		
11	Mr. Melendez' company, Petro Industrial?	11	that position?		
12	A. That's correct.	12	A. Basically make sure the work is getting done on		
13	Q. All right. And when did you start with Petro	13	time. Make sure costs is to a minimum. Client is happy		
14	Industrial?	14	with the production.		
15	A. I'd say the hurricane was in '18, I think it was.	15	Q. Okay. And who did you all right.		
16	Q. I believe it was September 2017.	16	Are you still with Petro today?		
17	A. well, it was going to be right after. I would say	17	A. Yes.		
18	November or December.	18	Q. Okay. And what position do you hold?		
19	Q. Okay. So shortly after the hurricane?	19	A. Same position.		
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. All right. Can you just give me an outline of the		
21	Q. All right. And you were employed directly by	21	management structure at Petro Industrial?		
22	Petro at that time?	22	Let me back up.		
23	A. That's correct.	23	Has it changed at all since it started in		
24	Q. Is it fair to refer to it as Petro?	24	2017?		
25	A. Petro Industrial, yes.	25	A. No.		

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

12

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. So can you give me an outline of the management structure at Petro?

A. Adrian, myself, our office manager. That's it. And the employees.

All right. So you report directly to Adrian?

That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you know what title he holds at the office?

Not sure, but I know he's the owner. Α.

Fair enough.

All right. And you said you have an, I'm sorry, office manager, was it, who was under you?

A. Not under me. Under Adrian. Santhia Rodriguez.

All right. And what's her position again? I apologize.

A. Office personnel. Office manager.

Got it. 0.

So you both directly report up to Adrian?

Yes.

Q. And then you have, I guess for lack of a better -the workers, then, that actually do the hands-on skilled labor?

That is correct.

Q. And I take it those fluctuate, depending upon the jobs you have at the time?

That is correct.

11

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. All right. And you're responsible for that workforce?

> Α. Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And the office manager handles the office paperwork related to that workforce?

That's correct.

All right. Does Petro have a quality control Q. manager?

A. Myself or Adrian.

Q. All right. So do you guys flip flop in that role?

A. If I'm on St. Croix, I'll do it. If I'm on St. Thomas and Adrian is not here, I will do it.

Q. Got it.

All right. So that role is filled based upon who's available, as opposed to, say, assignments for projects?

17 That is correct.

> Okay. Now, are you aware of the lawsuit that Petro has filed against various entities, including Vitol?

A. Yes. I'm aware of it.

All right. And what do you understand that lawsuit to be about?

Pretty much my understanding is breach of contract. And as far as how Petro was treated, it was unfairly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Q. Okay. Now, one of the defendants in this lawsuit				
2	is Andrew Canning.				
3	Do you know him?				
4	A. Yes.				
5	Q. All right. And sorry. Just check something.				
6	Another defendant in this lawsuit is Optis				
7	Europe, Limited.				
8	Do you know that company?				
9	A. Never heard of that company.				
10	Q. And another defendant in this lawsuit is Island				
11	Project and Operating Services, LLC.				
12	Familiar with that?				
13	A. Yes. IPOS.				
14	Q. Okay. So if we refer to it today as IPOS, you				
15	would understand that to mean the company I just named,				
16	right?				
17	A. That is correct.				
18	Q. Okay. Now, do you know any of the well, first.				
19	Let me strike that.				
20	Did there come a time where you met Charlotte				
21	Horowitz?				
22	A. Yes.				
23	Q. All right. And you know who Charlotte Horowitz				
24	is?				
25	A. I don't know her position. I know who she is.				
	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161				

She's one of the representatives for Vitol.

Q. Okay. And you met with her in Texas, right?

That is correct.

All right. And do you recall when that meeting was?

No, I don't. Α.

Q. Okay. Do you recall who was present at that meeting?

Α. Yes.

Who? Q.

Charlotte, Tim, Sebastian, Adrian, myself.

Q. Okay. And who are -- are there any other individuals at vitol that you have interacted with over the years working with Petro?

A. David Smith, Merlin Figueira. I'm not a hundred-percent sure of his last name. They had several supervisors or managers that came and went. I can't remember their names.

Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that David Smith and Merlin both work for IPOS.

Is that what you understand, or no?

Yes. Α.

Q. Okay. And during the time that you were working with Petro for IPOS, you understood that David Smith and Merlin were employees of IPOS?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

16

15

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is correct.

And do you know what positions they held?

A. At first, David was the general manager for both islands; and after he left and went to his other position, he, Merlin, came in for the spot.

Q. Okay. And what was David Smith's other position, to your knowledge?

A. I think he went to work at Cape Canaveral, if not correct.

Q. Okay. And then Merlin, to your knowledge, became the general manager for both St. Thomas and St. Croix?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. Now, do you have any knowledge of any type of racist comment that Charlotte Horowitz has ever made with respect to Petro, or any Petro employees?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Do you have any knowledge of any discriminatory conduct by Ms. Horwitz, Charlotte Horowitz, with respect to Petro, or any Petro employees?

A. No.

Q. All right. I have the same question for the other Vitol people that you interacted with.

So are you familiar, or have any personal knowledge, I should say, with respect to any racist comments by Sebastian Moretti?

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Best answer to your question is, we never interacted. We met one time with these people that you're asking, Sebastian, Charlotte. We worked with Tim on several occasion. But as far as communicating with them, they probably would have been in a meeting once or twice via satellite or something like that, but we never took instructions directly from them.

Q. Okay. That's fair enough. I understand. Would it be fair to say -- and I just need to make sure we're clear on the record -- would it be fair to say that you have no personal knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory conduct towards Petro, or any Petro employees, by Sebastian Moretti?

A. No knowledge of that.

Q. Okay. And would it be fair to say you do not have any knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory conduct against Petro, or any of Petro's employees by Tim Kologinczak?

That's correct.

Q. And would it be fair to say that you do not -- do you know who Eduardo Garcia is?

A. I met him a few times. He was here before we started working -- or Sebastian and these other guys, he was the one that I understand started the whole Vitol process down here with this propane facility.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. Okay. All right. So did you have occasion to interact and observe Mr. Garcia in your job?

No. I met him on three occasions, probably.

Okay. So you did have occasion to meet him, but you didn't interact with him --

Α. No.

Q. -- regularly?

No. A.

Q. Is that correct?

That is correct. A.

Okay. Do you have any knowledge of Mr. Eduardo Garcia making any racist comments, or engaging in any discriminatory conduct against Petro, or any of Petro's employees?

A. No.

Okay. What about with respect to any of the IPOS personnel? Do you have any personal knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory conduct that Mr. David Smith engaged in with respect to any Petro employees?

Q. And what about Merlin Figueira? Do you have any personal knowledge of Mr. Figueira engaging in any racist comments or discriminatory conduct against the Petro employees?

A. No.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. All right. For any of those people for IPOS or for Vitol, do you have any personal knowledge of any sort of, I guess, to use your words, unfair -- I'm sorry -- yeah, unfair treatment of Petro or Petro employees?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. What about with respect to Mr. Canning? Do you have any personal knowledge of Mr. Canning ever making any kind of racist comments towards any Petro employees?

Α.

Q. Okay. And what knowledge do you have with respect to Mr. Canning making racist comments to Petro employees?

A. To set the record straight, we took most of our orders from Andrew Canning. He was their representative on site. Mr. Canning would have -- would lay -- we would lay out a job that Canning gave us, and he would say, This is incorrect. We would --

MR. SIMPSON: Can you please --

(Mr. Beckstedt) They need you to? 0.

A. Speak up.

Yeah.

A. I said, Andrew Canning pretty much run the maintenance work for IPOS. And pretty much, he would give us a potential task to do. And right off the bat, we'll come up with the numbers, timewise, and he said, This is incorrect.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

20

19

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

we'll go over the task three or four times, and then we'll go back to the same initial one, and say this is better for him.

As far as that, he was saying, You island boys don't know what you're doing. You island people cannot get it right.

At his request, he would have said, Hey, this is not correct. Can you get somebody else to do this? We have been doing this job for several times for Andrew. And like I said, he always come back, saying that, You island people, or you locals cannot get it right.

Q. Okay. All right. Any other racist comments that you have personal knowledge of that were made by Mr. Canning to Petro employees?

A. Yes.

Q. what other knowledge?

A. Our personnel out of Puerto Rico, he said, These guys are worthless. They don't know what they're doing. They can't even communicate with them. We need to get better personnel than these Hispanics.

Q. Okay. Any -- anything else in terms of Mr. Canning making any racist comments?

A. Mr. Canning would make remarks, our payroll, our timesheets, even our quotes. Again, he would go back and say, You guys can't even get the numbers right as locals.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DTRECT

You can't even calculate hours on a timesheet as locals. And he would go on to say, As far as myself and Adrian Melendez, we're worthless as running the company.

Q. And are those Mr. Canning's words?

A. Yes.

okay. Q.

> I can even go further. Α.

Andrew Canning, on several occasion, accused us of forgery. Trying to rob the company.

Q. Meaning IPOS?

IPOS. Α.

And we have proved to him, time and time again, all he has to do is ask if he doesn't understand somethina.

Q. Okay. Anything else?

As far as that's it for right now.

All right. My -- of course my questions were with respect to racist comments.

I have the same questions with respect to discriminatory conduct.

Do you have any personal knowledge of Mr. Canning engaging any type -- in any type of discriminatory conduct different, or other than, what you've already told me about with respect to his racist comments?

Yes. He had a gentleman by the name of David

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

10 11

24

25

22 23 24

25

Q. Uh-huh.

And I don't know what was their affiliation Α.

between the two of them, but eventually they became -- he worked at IPOS, also. I don't know if it's a contract or what was the affiliation with Andrew Canning. How he treated David Nagle versus our employees and ourself was totally different.

Q. And can you explain for me the differences that you observed in Mr. Canning's treatment of David Nagle?

A. I'll give you one example.

The RIO panels we were working on, David Nagle was asked to design it and assist us in putting them together.

On several times in the field, David Nagle would make several mistakes in telling the welders exactly where to weld, what to do. Andrew Canning would come out and say, If David Nagle made a mistake, you guys should catch it. Your local guys cannot read a tape. You local guys don't know what you're doing. He would not have said anything to David Nagle, although I pointed out that David Nagle was the one who's telling the welders exactly what to do. where to weld.

Q. When you say, they can't read a tape, can you explain that to me?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Regular measuring tape. Α.

ο. Ah. okav.

All right. All right. Any other examples of your -- so -- so you said that you -- well, first of all, any other examples of you observing Mr. Canning engaging in discriminatory conduct?

A. By all means. Again, with the same gentleman, David Nagle.

Q. Uh-huh.

A. He would go out there and stop our job on the RIO panels again, and we lost hours, time. Then Canning and come back and ask us, You guys are worthless. Why aren't you picking up the pace? And this job was stopped by David Nagle.

Q. All right. Any other examples?

On behalf of myself, I've spoken to Andrew Canning on several occasion in regards to a job. He would agree up front, yes, this is what I want you to do. And after that it's finished, three months later on the timesheet, he would say, Why did you -- why were you on that timesheet? And he agreed, he's the one who asked me to go on different places, include St. Croix or St. Thomas. Look at the job. Came up with the numbers for the job, and would he say, I did not ask you to go there.

So just so I'm clear, what you're saying is, that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

24

23

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

you and Mr. Canning would come to an agreement at the beginning or in the earlier stage of project that you were going to do certain things, and then later, when you submitted time for those certain tasks, he basically disagreed that you had agreed -- that the two of you had agreed that you would do that work?

A. That is correct.

And to make this perfectly clear, it would be a three month's process after he will come back and say this. Not a week. Not a month. Three months.

Q. And with respect to this last example, is this for one particular job in particular, or many jobs?

No, with multi jobs. Multiple jobs that we did on the site.

Q. Okay. Did you have any forms -- anything in writing as to your agreement at the beginning of these projects as to what your role would be that Mr. Canning later said he had not agreed that you would do it?

A. We had no form of writing. He would communicate verbally. Send an e-mail out to myself or Adrian was very limited from Andrew.

Q. Got it.

So as you sit here today, you're not aware with respect to any of these examples that you gave me on this last point, where you can show, like a written e-mail

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

communication that says, Mr. Canning agreed that I would do this, and then later he took a different position?

A. No. This would have been discussed by at least four to six people on a weekly meeting, whatever project is coming up. And Canning would say, This would be the -- the procedure we was going to follow. I was going to go across St. Thomas, St. Croix. Scope the job out. Give him all what he needs, personnel hours, material, and we go from there.

Q. Okay. And these weekly meetings where this was discussed, were there regular attendees at these weekly meetings, like week in and week out?

David Smith, the IPOS employee between St. Thomas St. Croix.

Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Let's back up.

For IPOS at these weekly meetings, David Smith would be in attendance?

Yeah. Via conference. And you'll have the employees from St. Thomas and St. Croix.

Q. Okay. And this -- these meetings were happening at that time via videoconference?

That is correct.

Q. Got it.

And who, from Petro, was in attendance?

Either myself, Adrian, or -- or employees at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

site at the time.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And were any personnel from Vitol in attendance at those meetings?

A. No.

Q. Okay. I'm going to go back and spend some time on some of these examples, but just from a high-altitude perspective, are there any other examples that you have of discriminatory conduct by Andrew Canning towards Petro or Petro employees?

A. Yes.

What are some more examples?

A. Job-wise, Andrew Canning would say, This is not to code, to our employees. Andrew Canning would not have known what code. Is it a boiler code or pipe code whatever code, but he would say, That's not to code. We have to go to Merlin. We have to look up what code he's talking about and prove to him that it's wrong.

Q. All right. Is this something that was happening continuous --

A. Continuous.

-- or with respect to one particular job?

Continuous.

Okay. Was there ever any time that he was correct that something was not up to code?

Not to my knowledge.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. All right. Any other examples?

A. Not at this time. I can't remember right now.

Okay. With respect to the discriminatory conduct and racist comments that you've been testifying to with respect to Mr. Canning, did anyone, at -- to your personal knowledge -- well, did you ever tell anyone at Vitol that Canning was engaging in this conduct?

A. No.

All right. 0.

MS. ROHN: Wait. Wait. He was about to say something.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Yeah.

A. We never reported this to anybody at Vitol. We would have these meetings. David Smith, the general manager, and Andrew Canning would get in the meeting and say, right off the bat, These are the best employees we have. This happened on several occasions with several general managers that came on site.

As we get into the meeting, These guy's price are right. The employees are great. We're the best people they have at the time doing their maintenance.

As we walk out of there the next day, we go back to being the same thing. And this happened on several occasions.

Okay. I want to make sure I understand what

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

28

27

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

you're saying.

You're talking about an initial meeting before a project starts, or are you just talking about the weekly meetings?

A. There would come a time where it was too much from Andrew Canning, and we'd request a meeting from David Smith and the general manager to discuss unfair practices.

O. I get it.

A. Before we say anything in the meeting, Andrew Canning would say, These guys are the greatest guy here. We do everything correctly. And we had nothing to bring forward at that time.

Q. Okay. So let me just, first of all, understand the stage here, if I may.

You're going about your work and becoming frustrated with Canning's behavior, correct?

That's correct.

And you ask for a meeting? You ask for a meeting with IPOS?

A. That is correct.

To address Canning's behavior that you're frustrated with?

Α. Yes.

And the meeting is held, and the people that are present are yourself and --

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Adrian. A.

-- and whomever -- and Adrian. David Smith or Q. Merlin?

And Merlin. Α.

And Merlin? Q.

Yes.

A.

And Andrew Canning? Q.

Α. That is correct.

Q. Okay. And no one from Vitol, right?

10

No. Q. That's correct?

12 That is correct. Α.

> Okay. And at that meeting, the very first part of the meeting would be Andrew Canning basically singing Petro's praises?

A. That is correct.

And then you said, so we would have nothing to, Q. what?

A. So I said, as he starts singing the praises, that we're the best people, what are we going to complain? We have a great working relationship with these guys. They're the best thing we ever had in this facility so far.

So now, that shut us down as far as complaining to. What are we going to complain about?

Did you ever request a meeting with IPOS, where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you specifically requested that $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Canning not be present?

A. No.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up.

- **Q.** Did you ever, after Mr. Canning gave one of these introductory praises at the meeting, did you ever say, Time out. That's not what's happening on the field. He's not treating us as if we're the best people, or the way he's singing our praises? Did you ever call him out, basically?
- **A.** No, I never did, because of fear that as that would happen, we continue working there, and get treated in a different perspective.
- Q. And you're telling me today that this happened more than one time, where you asked for a meeting because of Mr. Canning's conduct?
 - A. Yes.
- **Q.** And so as this continued to become, I guess, in your words, a routine, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- **Q.** I mean, those aren't your words, but am I characterizing your testimony correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So as this became a routine, you still didn't ever call him out at any of these meetings?
 - A. Never
 - Q. Okay. And -- all right.
 - Is there anything else that Petro did to tell

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

anyone at IPOS about Canning's behavior that you've been discussing?

- $\begin{tabular}{ll} {\bf A.} & {\bf It was discussed off the record, general manager,} \\ {\bf Merlin Figueira.} \end{tabular}$
 - Q. Okay.
- $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{ And he agreed that Andrew was purposely pressuring} \\ \text{us and treating us unfairly.}$
 - Q. And what do you mean by "off the record"?
- A. So after the meeting was over, we go out, the next day, it would happen. Go about our business on the job site, and we would get back right into the same routine with Andrew, you know, as far as the work, you guys can't do something simple. Picking on our guys. Go to Merlin. Merlin, this has to stop. We had this meeting yesterday. This gentleman is back to doing the same dirty thing that he was doing all along, picking on our employees. Harassing our employees. Not allowing them to do what they want to do. Doing their job.

Merlin have said he seen it on several occasion. And we just continue talking about it back and forth, but I don't think it went anywhere beyond Merlin discussing it.

- **Q.** All right. Did you ever ask Merlin, you know, if IPOS or Merlin was going to do anything about it?
 - A. Well, the reason I complained, I wanted somebody

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

32

31

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

to do something about it.

Q. Okay.

MR. SIMPSON: I'm sorry. You need to speak

- A. The reason I discuss it with Merlin or talked to Merlin about it, I wanted some -- someone from IPOS to step up, but it never happened.
- $\mathbf{Q.}$ $\mbox{ (Mr. Beckstedt)}$ Okay. So let me ask my question a little differently.

Did Merlin Figueira ever relate to you in any of these conversations what he had or had not done about the situation?

- A. No.
- **Q.** All right. So you have no knowledge as to what Mr. Figueira may or may not have done in light of the continued, I believe, as you said, conduct of Mr. Canning pressuring and treating you unfairly?
 - A. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever bring this up directly to Mr. Canning?
 - A. No.

Three times.

- **Q.** Do you have any recollection as to how many times you had these meetings, where you asked specifically for a meeting with respect to Mr. Canning's conduct?
 - Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. Do you remember the dates of those meetings?

A. Sorry, no.

Q. Do you remember specifically what the project -projects were that were going on, related to these three meetings?

A. Not at the time, no.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Okay. Do you know -- do you know the approximate time frame of these three meetings?

A. About three months apart.

Q. So each one was roughly three months apart?

A. Roughly.

Q. Do you have any recollection of which year or years these meetings took place?

A. I would say 2019.

Q. You can't look to Mr. Melendez for answers.

17 A. No, no. I just -- I can't recollect. I can't recollect. Sorry.

Q. Okay. All right. Do you, by -- do you know the date that Petro entered into their maintenance agreement with IPOS?

A. Around 2017-18.

Q. Okay. Did you ever work for Vivot Construction?

A. That's correct.

Q. All right. Did Mr. Melendez work with you at

1	Vivot Construction?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Okay. And what time frame did you work at Vivot?
3	Q. All right. When was this?	3	A. I would say about three to six months.
4	A. Prior to Petro starting.	4	Q. And then you went to Petro?
5	Q. Okay. So you worked at NIS with Mr. Melendez,	5	A. Yes.
6	that's the first time the two of you worked together, right?	6	Q. So since you've lived on St. Croix I'm
7	A. Yes.	7	concerned from the time you were with NIS, when you first
8	Q. And that's when you met Mr. Melendez?	8	met Mr. Melendez forward. Not before, okay?
9	A. That is correct.	9	A. Um-hum.
10	Q. Okay. And Mr. Melendez was working for NIS?	10	Q. So on St. Croix, since you worked at NIS, the only
11	A. Yes.	11	other companies you've ever worked for were Vivot, and then
12	Q. Okay. And after Irma and Maria, you stopped	12	Petro?
13	working for NIS and attended to your property?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. That's right.	14	Q. No other companies?
15	Q. And then I believe you told me that you and	15	A. No other companies.
16	Mr. Melendez, you then went to work for Petro, but actually	16	Q. And did you do any work independently, like
17	you worked for Vivot in between?	17	yourself? Self-employed during that time?
18	A. We worked yes, right after Irma and Maria, we	18	A. No.
19	worked for Vivot.	19	\mathbf{Q}_{ullet} Okay. Before Petro got the contract for work at
20	Q. Okay. And what was your position at Vivot?	20	the propane facilities at WAPA on St. Thomas and St. Croix,
21	A. Supervisor.	21	had you done any work on those facilities through Vivot?
22	Q. Okay. And what was Mr. Melendez's position, to	22	A. Yes.
23	your knowledge, at Vivot?	23	Q. Okay. And was Andrew Canning involved in any of
24	A. I think he was a manager.	24	that work?
25	Q. Did you report to Mr. Melendez?	25	A. Yes.
-	Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

(340) 773-8161

(340) 773-8161

_

35

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Was any of the conduct that you have described today with respect to Mr. Canning being either racist or discriminatory or unfairly treating employees, was any of that conduct, did any of that occur when vivot was doing the work?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So the examples you gave me earlier, did that include both — the examples of Mr. Canning's conduct that you've testified to earlier today, did that involve conduct that was both during the vivot period of time and the Petro period of time?

A. Yes.

Q. Got it.

The three meetings that you're talking about, where you went to IPOS to discuss Mr. Canning's conduct, do you have any recollection -- you said you don't recall the dates of those meetings, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Do you have any recollection, or can you say with any certainty, whether those meetings occurred when Vivot was doing the work, versus when Petro was doing the work?

A. we never had any meeting with -- during the Vivot time with them to report this.

Q. Okay.

A. We never asked for any.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Got it.

So when Mr. Canning was engaging in some of this conduct that you've described while you were working for Vivot, nobody ever called a meeting with IPOS or raised concerns about it, correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form. Compound.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) All right. Am I -- when you were working for Vivot, and experiencing this conduct that you've testified to with respect to Mr. Canning, did -- do you have any knowledge of Mr. Canning's conduct being raised to IPOS?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Did you raise it to Vivot?

A. N

Q. Is there -- are you -- are you aware of anything in writing, either via e-mail, note, or otherwise, that documents the request for these three meetings that you've talked about with IPOS to discuss Mr. Canning's conduct?

A. No.

Q. Do you know if, during those meetings, anybody was taking any kind of minutes or notes or agendas?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. With respect to actual derogatory terms, you know, racist terms or discriminatory terms, I understand that you used the word "islander," right?

A. Yes.

36

All right. Did I also hear you use the word 1 1 refinery? 2 "local"? 2 '97. Α. And who did you work for in '97? 3 Α. That is correct. 3 Q. 4 Q. All right. Any other words that Mr. Canning used 4 Α. 5 specifically that you perceived or understood to be racist What other contractors have you worked for at the 5 6 or discriminatory? 6 refinery since IMC in '97? 7 Α. Not that I can recall, but he may or may not. 7 Let's back up. Just what you have personal knowledge of today? First of all, that was the Hess Virgin 8 Q. 8 Islands Corp., HOVIC, refinery, right? 9 9 Α. 10 So those are the two, right? 10 That's correct. Q. 11 Right. 11 Okay. So can you walk me through your history of A. 12 Q. And what do you understand an islander to be? 12 working at the refinery? 13 MS. ROHN: Object to the form. 13 A. Started out with IMC. Went to Jacob-IMC. Worked 14 (Mr. Beckstedt) Well, you perceived it as 14 for Turner. Worked directly for HOVENSA. Worked for Q. 15 discriminatory or racist, correct? The word "islander"? 15 Pinnacle. Then worked for NIS. 16 That's correct. 16 Q. Okay. Do you remember approximately what year 17 Mr. Adrian Melendez, Jr. started working at the refinery? 17 Why? Q. Basically from past experience. 18 A. I think when they restarted with Limetree Bay. 18 Α. 19 19 The year, I'm not sure. I'd be lying to you. Q. Can you explain? 20 We worked in the refinery. Everybody that came 20 Q. Got it. 21 from the States believed we cannot perform any task 21 All right. Were you -- were you ever 22 correctly. 22 involved in any other -- you said -- well, let's back up. 23 And that's your basis of -- that's it? 23 You said this is the first time you've ever Q. 24 A. That's correct. 24 been deposed, right?

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Okay. And when did you first work in the

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR
(340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

40

39

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Were you involved in any other lawsuits related to either discrimination or racism with respect to any of your work at the refinery?

A. Never.

Q.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Now, you said that you also perceived the word -- Mr. Canning's use of the word "local" as racist or discriminatory, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And I have the same question: Why did you perceive the word "local" as discriminatory or racist?

A. My position at the refinery was general superintendent for the entire refinery in the evening. Each one of these companies that I mentioned, they would have these -- brought these groups down from the States. And they would continue proceeding to a task, which they'd come to a roadblock, and they would also blame the locals, 'cause of their lack of knowledge.

I had to go behind of them and repair or fix these issues, where would they have said to the locals, you know, You guys are monkeys swinging from the trees. You guys are coconuts.

Q. To be clear, the "they" that you're referring to in this response were stateside contractors that were at the refinery back in the day, not -- not Mr. Canning, correct?

A. Not Mr. Canning.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

A. Yes.

Q. This is what informs you of --

A. Ye

Q. -- why you perceive these words as being discriminatory?

A. That's correct.

Q. Understood.

And when you said you'd have to come behind them and repair and fix things, are you talking about the relationships with the workers, or the actual work?

 $\mathbf{A.}$ $\;$ The actual work, and the relationship with the employees.

Q. Okay. And the fixing of the actual work, you're saying you had to go -- you mean you, personally, or your crew had to go and fix work that was performed improperly by stateside contractors?

A. That's correct.

Q. Got it.

Who were complaining about the poor work of the, quote, unquote, "locals" or "islanders"?

A. That's right.

21 **Q.** Okay. All right.

Incidentally, do you consider yourself a local or an islander?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what defines to you -- first of all,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York.

Yes. A.

ο.

Q.

continuously?

A.

A. No.

-- New York. Okay.

anywhere else outside of St. Croix?

as a safety officer at any time?

Myself and Adrian.

And you came down here as an adult?

Okay. And you've resided -- do you have a home

Q. Okay. And am I clear in understanding that since

No -- no exemptions to go live somewhere else?

MR. BECKSTEDT: Thank you. Okay.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Did Petro have anybody that worked

Okay. When did -- do you consider him management?

Okay. And how long has Frank Kirsch been with the

Knowledge serve me correctly, probably two to

44

MS. ROHN: We know what continuously means.

1989, or just past Hugo, you've lived on St. Croix

We have presently Frank Kirsch.

Okay. Does he report to you?

No. He's a safety representative.

	Cananimilia sunc v-000 at 12-WAL-EAH Documen
1	are those words interchangeable in your mind, or do they
2	mean something different?
3	A. No. I think if you're a local, you live here.
4	And if you're an islander, you've been here for quite some
5	time. Can say this is home.
6	Q. So there's a difference in your mind between a
7	local and an islander?
8	A. I would say the same thing.
9	Q. Okay. So you said a local lives here?
10	A. Um-hum.
11	Q. And an islander has been here a long time?
12	A. Considers yourself to be home, pretty much, yes.
13	Q. I'm sorry. Can you say that a little louder for
14	the people that are
15	A. Okay. A local, you know, they've been here. They
16	lived here. The islander is a person who's born here.
17	Consider that. Or somebody's moved here, and live here,
18	also.
19	Q. Okay. Just to be clear, to understand this, you
20	haven't you weren't born here, right?
21	A. NO.
22	Q. And you were born where?
23	A. South America, Guyana.
24	Q. That's right. I remember.
25	And then grew up in

three years, or around --Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q.

company?

43

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROHN: Don't guess. If you don't know, don't guess.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. I don't know.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Okay. Was Mr. Kirsch here during the period of time when Mr. Canning was engaging in this conduct with respect to Petro's work at the propane facilities?

A. I think he was there once.

Q. What's the one time you think he was? You are recalling?

A. In regards to St. Thomas.

Q. And what particular event?

Andrew Canning fell through a grating in Α. St. Thomas.

Q. Okay. But at that time, Mr. Kirsch was already an employee of Petro, and in the capacity as safety representative?

A. Yes.

Q. Got it.

All right. Did -- did Petro, at that time, employ any other people who were not strictly skilled labor?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

MS. ROHN: I want to make it clear that Mr. Persuad is not our 30(b)(6) witness.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

(Mr. Beckstedt) Right. I understand. This is your personal knowledge of the emplovees.

If I understand correctly, you reported directly to Mr. Melendez, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And then everybody else in the company, with the exception of the office manager, reported to you, right?

A. Pretty much, yes. As I said, myself and Adrian, our employees reported to.

Q. Okay. But you could still direct all those employees, right?

Α. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And Frank Kirsch is one of the employees that you could direct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And when I say, "skilled labor," I'm talking about like your welders.

What are the skilled laborers that Petro employed during the period of time that it had the contract with IPOS for the propane facilities?

A. Welders, boilenmakers, pipe fitters, electricians, instrument techs, civil personnels.

Q. Huh?

Civil personnels. Concrete workers and stuff like

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

	- Care Live	POCCHIO		CILITATI	Bodes Diller of E 1 day of EE of 10
1	that. R	ebar.	1	Α.	She's Hispanic from Puerto Rico.
2	Q.	All right. Did Petro employ a safety	2	Q.	And do you understand, to your personal knowledge,
3	represen	tative before Mr. Kirsch?	3	that she	is her national origin is Puerto Rican?
4	А.	We had yes, we did. I can't think of his name	4	Α.	Yes.
5	right no	w. Josh.	5	Q.	Do you know how long she's does she live on
6	Q.	Josh.	6	St. Croix	or in Puerto Rico?
7		You can't remember his last name?	7	Α.	She lives on St. Croix.
8	A.	No.	8	Q.	Do you know how long she's lived on St. Croix?
9	Q.	Can you describe Josh for me?	9	Α.	2002, I would say.
10	A.	His position?	10	Q.	Okay. Do you consider her a local or an islander?
11	Q.	No.	11	Α.	She's a local.
12		What do you know what race he is or	12	Q.	Okay. All right. Are there any individuals that
13	A.	Oh, white? Black?	13	were kind	of core Petro employees during the period of time
14		Okay. He's white.	14	that Petr	o had the contract with IPOS for the propane
15	Q.	Do you know if he was from the States?	15	maintenan	nce? The maintenance of the propane facilities?
16	A.	From the States.	16	Α.	Our welders, our boilenmakers, our electrician,
17	Q.	All right. Do you know his national origin?	17	our instr	rument tech, and the individual who did a few
18	A.	He's a redhead. I guess Irish.	18	designs f	for Andrew Canning.
19	Q.	You don't consider him an islander or local,	19	Q.	Okay. Do I understand that you had one instrument
20	right?		20	tech?	
21	A.	No.	21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. I'm correct?	22	Q.	was it the same person the whole time?
23	A.	That's correct.	23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	All right. All right. Did oh, the office	24	Q.	And who was that?
25	manager,	what race would you consider her?	25	Α.	Calvin Schmidt.
		Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161			Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161
		(/			V/

Nissman, RPR-RMR)) 773-8161

47

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Q.

48

	CHETRAM PERSUAD DIRECT				
1	Q.	Do you know where Calvin Schmidt's from?			
2	Α.	His parents are from Aruba, and I think he was			
3	born here	2.			
4	Q.	Q. Okay. You consider him a local islander?			
5	Α.	Yes.			
6	Q.	Okay. And you had one electrician?			
7	Α.	Yes.			
8	Q.	And who was that?			
9	Α.	Anthony Theodore.			
10	Q.	Anthony what?			
11	Α.	Theodore.			
12	Q.	Okay. And do you know his race or ethnicity?			
13	Α.	His parents are St. Lucian. He was born here.			
14	Q.	Q. Another person you would consider a local			
15	islander?	•			
16	Α.	Yes.			
17	Q.	And who was the designer?			
18	Α.	It was Brian Melendez, the draft person.			
19	Designer.				
20	Q.	And that's Mr. Adrian Melendez's brother, correct?			
21	A. That's correct.				
22	Q. And do you know where Mr. Brian Melendez was born?				
23	Α.	Texas.			
24	Q.	Okay. And do you know where he currently lives?			
25	Α.	Texas.			

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT Q. And at the time that he was a designer, do you know where he was working? Or living? A. Living on St. Croix. Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Brian Melendez owns any property on St. Croix? Not to my knowledge. MS. ROHN: Objection. Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) All right. Do you consider him to be a local or an islander? A. No. He does not consider himself to be a local islander. He always considered going back to Texas to live. Q. And what about Mr. Adrian Melendez, Jr.? Do you consider him to be a local or an islander? He's paying taxes and bought a house here. Where's his house? Q. Not sure. A. You don't know? Q. Never been there? Q. I've been there. I can't remember where it was. Α. Do you know how you get there? Q. Buccaneer.

And it overlooks the golf course?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Relevance.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Local islander relevance.

1	A. Yes.	1	the maintenance contract with IPOS?
2	Q. It does overlook the golf course, right?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Okay. Do you remember an employee named Kenia
4	${f Q}_{f st}$ Are any of the welders that Petro employed, do you	4	Johny?
5	consider any of those welders, that you mentioned, to be	5	A. Yes.
6	non-local or non-islanders?	6	Q. Did she work for Petro when it had the contract
7	A. They all live in Puerto Rico.	7	with IPOS?
8	Q. Are they do you know whether or not they're all	8	A. She worked with Vivot when we had that contract.
9	Hispanic or latino?	9	Q. Oh, okay.
10	A. They're all latino.	10	A. Briefly probably a month, or so, with us. With
11	Q. Okay. What about the boilermakers? Are there any	11	Petro.
12	of the boilermakers that work for Petro that you would	12	Q. All right. Are you familiar with the fact that
13	consider not to be islanders or locals?	13	she sued Petro for discrimination?
14	A. All of them are locals.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay.	15	Q. Did you have any personal knowledge about any of
16	MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. All of them are	16	the facts underlying that lawsuit?
17	what?	17	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
18	A. Local. They live here on the island.	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Do you know if any of them were	19	(Respite.)
20	originally from the States and moved here?	20	Are you familiar with a point in time where
21	A. No, I don't know.	21	Mr. Canning questioned the certifications of Petro welders?
22	Q. In terms of the boilermakers?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. I do not know.	23	Q. Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge about
24	Q. Okay. Does that pretty much cover all of the core	24	those events?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

employees for Petro during the period of time that it had

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

51

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

What do you know?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Overly broad.

(Mr. Beckstedt) You can answer. 0.

A. I think it was the 3-inch WAPA line. Vent line, that is. 3-inch vent line.

Q. And what do you recall happening with respect to the questioning of the qualifications of the welders for the 3-inch vent line?

A. That's the only time I recall, for all the work that we have done at IPOS, this is a vent line. It's not a propane line. Propane line is much dangerous. This just goes to atmosphere. Vent. We work on high-pressure stuff in St. Thomas, St. Croix, and was never asked for any certifications.

Q. So am I to understand what you're implying is that you felt it was unusual that they would ask for certifications on this particular job?

Q. Do you have any knowledge as to the reason that the certifications were asked for with respect to this particular job?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge as to -well, no. Strike that.

So were -- were -- well, let's back it up.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

A. Yes.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What -- what type of qualifications were the Petro welders required to have at this time?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Vague as to by whom.

(Mr. Beckstedt) For Petro. Does Petro require its welders to be qualified?

Yes. A.

So what qualifications did Petro require at that time for the 3-inch vent line?

A. Our welders have to go through a process.

What is the process?

Pretty much to do a weld, and it would be examined by a qualified person.

Basically, since we left the refinery, our welders have been qualified all along, based upon their welds, which was being done by x-ray, phase array. And if somebody continue working in the same field, it's not necessary to keep passing all their goals and their welds. It's not necessary to go have somebody come from outside to recertify them again.

0. okav.

> Because you're using the x-rays as the test. A.

All right. So let's break that down.

Your welders were certified when Petro was doing work at Limetree?

Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

52

Q. Okay. And incidentally, are you familiar with the 1 1 A. Dan. 2 fact that there's -- well, let's -- let me back up. 2 0. Dan. Okav. Was -- were the welders working for Petro at Now, do these -- are there different types of 3 3 4 that time, or were they working for Vivot, when they were 4 welds that these guys do? 5 qualified at the Limetree facility? 5 Α. Yes. 6 A. We were working for Vivot at the time. 6 What are the types of welds they do? 0. 7 Q. Okay. So these welders were qualified while they 7 A. You have plate weld, structure weld, pipe weld. You weld on stainless steel. You weld on carbon pipe. You 8 were employees of Vivot? 8 9 A. Yes. Petro also did work in the refinery with 9 got weld with wire. Weld with stick. 10 same welders, which made them qualified under Petro. 10 Q. Okay. And do they have to be qualified in each of 11 Q. Okay. For the record, do you have -- do you know 11 these types of welds? 12 the names of these welders we're talking about? 12 Not necessarily. 13 A. We have several welders in the refinery. Our 13 Okay. Are there particular types of welds where 14 staff was large. I may have remember one or two. Best 14 they do have to be qualified in? 15 15 quesstimate right now. A. 16 Q. That's fine. 16 what are those welds where they're required to be 17 qualified in? 17 who are the one or two that you remember, as 18 A. Once you work in a pressure vessel or pipe, you 18 you sit here today? 19 Dan Martinez. Eduardo. The rest is vague to me. 19 have to be qualified. 20 That's fine. 20 Q. And is it a type of weld that you have to be MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. What was the second 21 21 qualified for? 22 name? 22 It could be stick or what's called TIG weld wire. Α. 23 23 A. Eduardo. Q. Tape?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

And what was Mr. Martinez's first name?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

TIG. Got it. Sorry. I should have known that.

56

TIG. Yeah, TIG. T-I-G.

55

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Okay. And you were saying that if they're continuing to do welding, then they don't have to be requalified; is that right?

A. That's not what I said.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Eduardo.

- Q. Okay. Could you clarify that for me?
- A. I said, once the welders are welding and passing their welds, which is being done by shear wave or x-ray, that's how they continue with their qualifications.
- Q. Okay. And is there any period of time where, if they haven't done these welds, that they have to be requalified?
 - A. Unless required by an entity.
 - What do you mean by that?
 - If IPOS request it, or Limetree request it.
- So Petro -- so Petro itself doesn't have any requirement that if its welders do not do particular welds for a period of time, that they have to be requalified?
- A. My understanding, for a qualification, we do it in house solely for Petro.
- Q. Okay. And I want to make sure I understand that. Did Petro ever, at any time, qualify the Petro welders in house?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And was there documentation for that? 0.
 - Like I said, based upon the x-rays and phase

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Α.

Q.

arrays on several projects, they continued that way. Q. All right. I'm sorry, but I'm not understanding.

You're telling me that your welders go out and do welding. And then someone comes along and inspects and does either a x-ray or phase array, correct?

A. That's right.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} Then somebody looks at the x-rays or phase ray -phase arrays, and approves or disapproves of the weld, right?

That's correct.

And if they approve of the weld, you consider the welder to be qualified?

Α.

Q. Okay. And if there's a period of time from the last time -- is there any period of time from the last time that welder's weld was either x-rayed or phase arrayed that could expire where you'd have to requalify the welder?

That would be requested by a client.

Did Petro have any internal requirements in that Q. regard?

Once they're welding for us? A.

Q.

A. We don't have -- we don't need it if they're welding for us.

Okay. So if a guy did a weld for you, and it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

x-rayed in January of a year, and then they were going to do a weld, say, in December of that same year, they wouldn't need to be recertified because of a lapse of time?

- A. We're in the business of welding, and that's all we do. That's the majority of our bulk. We have not had a lapse in time, as far as a six-month's period or three-month period that we would have to requalify somebody. They've been continuous welding.
 - O. Got it.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- ${\bf A}_{\star}$ So there's no need internally for us, Petro, to ask for a qualification of the welder.
 - Q. Got it. Okay.

And do you keep records for each welder every time they do have these x-rays and phase arrays that keep them qualified?

- $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{It's our documentation for every job that we do. } \\ \text{We have to keep paperwork.}$
- **Q.** Okay. Do you have any internal documents that are tagged to the welders themselves that show that they're constantly doing these welds, and that the welds are, I guess, qualified or approved through the x-ray/phase array process?
 - A. Their stencils that go according to each welder.
 - Q. A what?
 - A. A stencil. A weld number.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. That's on the actual weld, though, right?

A. It's on the weld, and it says which welder would

- **Q.** Right. But you'd have to go out to wherever they did the weld on the material to see the stencil, right? Or is that something that's kept at the office?
- A. Prior to any work being done, there's a weld map that's been created. A drawing we have to follow. And you will see Weld 1. If you got 20 welds, 1 through 20. And each welder that welds on -- you don't have to go out in the field, because you're looking at a weld map already. You could go out in the field, identify which welder is welding away.
 - O. Got it.

But are there any records that are kept in the office --

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. -- of Petro --

MS. ROHN: Let him finish.

- Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) -- that would tell you that this welder did these welds?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. So if I wanted to just, for example, let's say I wanted to know, did Eduardo actually, you know, doing welds over the last six or eight months, that have been, you

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

60

59

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

know, x-rayed or phase arrayed, and show that he's doing them correctly and he qualifies, there's no record I could go to at Petro to like see his welds?

- A. That's a question for Adrian Melendez.
- Q. Got it.

So not to your knowledge?

MS. ROHN: He's not Petro.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Right.

But in your years of working for Petro, you haven't gone to go, you know, look in the files to check to see whether your welders are up on their welding and have been doing it correctly and they're still qualified, correct?

 $\label{eq:MS.ROHN:} \textbf{MS. ROHN:} \quad \text{Objection to form.} \quad \text{You can} \\ \text{answer.} \quad$

- A. Right.
- Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Is that correct?
- A. No. What I explained to you already, is our welders were continuing welding. If a welder failed by any reason, there's a red flag.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So he had a chance to correct that weld, and that's how he's qualified.
- $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \text{Okay.}\quad \text{Let me understand how that works in the field.}$

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

You've got a project.

A. Um-hum.

Q. You've got a welding diagram.

A. Um-hum.

Q. And your welder goes out, and, say, Eduardo, for example, or Eduardo, for example, does weld Number 4, and puts his stencil on it, right? So you know it's his weld. And then later, it comes -- someone comes along and x-rays it or phase arrays it to see if it's good, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And you're saying that if, on that imaging study that's done of the -- of the weld, it fails, there's a red flag, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, how -- what happens -- explain to me practically what happens that gets that welder, who got a red flag, requalified?

 $\textbf{A.}\quad \textbf{I'll go one step further.}$

we're doing a hundred welds. You're allowed a percentage of failure. Your percentage may be 10 percent. If you did a hundred, and you have one that is bad, that means all the weld pass.

Internally, how I proceed with my work, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

would have them correct that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So they have to go out and redo the weld or cut out the pipe and put a new --

A. No cut out of pipe. No.

When you read an x-ray, you could say it passed, I could say it failed. It is an interpretation. There may be porous parts in a weld, slag in a weld, and if you are in the field as long, and you understand what you're doing, a new person would have been a little more lenient or more harsh, all depends how they read it. Your opinion and my opinion is totally different.

okay.

A. And it's the same thing when you read an x-ray or a phase array.

Q. In the example that you just gave, where if you do a hundred welds and only one fails, they all pass, because it's within the percentage of error that's allowed, right? Are you talking about the same welder does all hundred, or could it be different welders?

A. It could be different welders.

Got it.

So what has to happen -- let's say that you've got one welder, who's routinely failing a weld, how do you monitor the quality control for that?

I would fire him.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. So you don't requalify him or give him --Q.

Α. No.

-- any training? Q.

A. No.

Got it. Q.

And when you find -- you said that even if you find one red flag, even though it passes as a whole, you will address that red flag?

That is correct. We correct it.

Do you send the same welder out to correct it, or a different one?

A. same welder.

Got it. Q.

Because that's where the red flag would come in. Α.

And then it gets re-phase arrayed? ο.

> It has to. Α.

Got it. Q.

But when you're doing a welding job, you do not -- or do they typically image every single weld, or do they just pick a sampling? Like if you had a hundred welds, would they go and image all hundred welds?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form. Compound.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Okay. Let me rephrase the Q. question.

Let's just use your example, where you got a

64

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

63

project that's going to have a hundred welds on it, okay?

A. No.

0. Okav. Yes?

will -- will every weld be imaged?

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

A. May I?

You may. Q.

we're talking about IPOS. Α.

Andrew Canning or WAPA would select whatever weld -- which weld they would like to inspect. Not Petro. So they may select the one on the highest point, the one in the lowest point, the middle, wherever. It's not being done, okay, we have the best welder, and we pick that one to do it. It's not like that.

Andrew Canning or WAPA would come and select which weld they would like to have phase arrayed or x-rayed.

Q. Okay. Getting back to the welder qualifications for the 3-inch vent line.

Did you have any -- did you participate at all in getting those welders qualification certificates?

A.

Okay. Do you have any knowledge about what the welders had to do to get those qualification certificates?

They had to go through a test, of course.

Okay. Did you have any involvement in arranging for that testing to happen?

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Α. No.

And you didn't participate in traveling to go see that testing done, correct?

A. No.

Q. All right. Do you know whether anybody did attend that -- that testing?

A. You don't have to.

I realize. I'm sorry. My question is just simply, do you know if anybody attended the testing with the

A. I'm saying to you, no, you do not have to. You could send a welder to Alabama, New York, to be tested, and you get a piece of paper back internally for your own use. So no one has to go and witness. It's that company reputation is going to be on the line that they tested a particular welder, and he passed or failed, or whatever the situation may be, and he using that piece of paper.

Understood. I get that nobody has to go. I'm just asking if anybody did?

Α. I don't know.

> Okay. Do you know who did the testing? 0.

22 Guillermo.

> Q. Do you know Guillermo?

I've met him once or twice in the refinery. Α.

Okay. Do you know who he worked for at the time Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he did the testing of the Petro welders related to the 3-inch vent line?

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Okay. Do you have knowledge as to his Q. certification?

A. He worked for Acuren, as -- my knowledge of Guillermo, he created the phase array. So he's well straight up when it comes to welding. Ain't nothing else that I can say bad about this guy in regards to his qualification. It's not by me. It's not by Acuren or Versa. Anybody. He's well-known in the industry. Not by my opinion, but anyone in the industry you speak to, he's well recommended.

Q. Got it.

(Respite.)

MS. ROHN: Can we take a bathroom break?

MR. BECKSTEDT: Yeah. That's fine.

(Short recess taken.)

(Mr. Beckstedt) Petro has alleged in this action that some of Andrew Canning's decisions were in error or improper.

Do you have any knowledge of specific instances where Mr. Canning's decisions, as to operations, were in error or improper?

A. So I'm not involved in operations for IPOS, so I

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

cannot make a decision for that, or an opinion in that. That's solely IPOS.

Q. Okay. So just to be clear, then -- hold on a second. Let me check something. Bear with me.

Let me make sure. Let me re-ask the question. Make sure that I got a clear answer.

Did you ever point out to Andrew Canning that his decisions as to operations were in error or improper?

A. So it's a little confusing right here.

So there's something called Operation IPOS, where they process the propane, and those people work for operations.

Q. Okay.

If you're speaking about work in the field, I mean, I can answer to that.

Q. okay.

A. But if you say, "operations," I'm thinking the process that the employee, IPOS employee, deals with.

Q. All right. Well, then, let's talk about work in the field.

Α. Yes.

What can you answer as to work in the field? MR. SIMPSON: If you guys have begun, I'm not hearing anything.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Can you hear me, Andy, or

68

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

not?

MR. SIMPSON: Sorry. The problem was on my end. It's fixed.

MR. BECKSTEDT: No problem.

THE WITNESS: Repeat your question, please.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Okay. With respect to work in the field, did you ever point out to Andrew Canning that any of his decisions were in error or improper?

A. Andrew role at IPOS, from my knowledge, as long as I've known him, he's very procrastinated in everything that he do, which causes a delay in maintenance, which internally causes operation to spend money.

For example, on several piping that we could have done within a month, he would have send it off, and it would take at least a year. We will have leaks in the plant. We would have to put clamps on these pipes. The valves would fail. And that's how I would say -- that's one instance he would hinder their operation.

There's certain valves that he wants to replace. It's been over three years since we've been working there, I think, roughly, and he has not produced any of these valves.

We have brought Traeger Brother to site. The valves are available in two or three months, and Andrew Canning would not order the valves.

67

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

So, I mean, if you're asking me, he's holding back, or he's somewhat not working with them, I would say, yes, he's holding them very much back.

Q. Okay. Did you ever bring this up directly to Andrew Canning, what you've just explained?

A. Yes.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} And how did he respond?

You guys are overpriced. You guys don't know what you're doing. It's going to take us longer, Petro longer to do the job. And we've even proved to him on several occasions we could possibly do it in a shorter time that he's estimating. Not a year. Something that could be done in months or weeks. Not years.

Q. Can you give me an example of one occasion where you proved to him that you could do it in a shorter time?

A. In St. Thomas, the welds that he wanted to bring a company in to do the welds, we did it within, I think, three days.

Q. Which project was that?

A. This was the tie-in for the -- I think the tie-in for the loading rack. I think it's the loading rack, yes. I think it's the loading rack.

And I can point out another one. The first job I worked with Andrew Canning is in WAPA, demo a -- I think it was a 6-inch line in WAPA. Andrew wanted 21 days.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I looked at the job, and I told Andrew, this job could be done in three days. He said we're crazy. We don't know what we're talking about. We're unsafe.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ proved to him. He was on site. Within two days, the job was done.

Q. Just to be clear, this job related to something that Petro did directly for WAPA, not through the IPOS contract; is that correct?

A. This is for -- IPOS lines run through WAPA. So they're feeding them propane. All the propane lines belongs to IPOS. So this is a IPOS job in WAPA that we're talking about.

Q. Okay. I just wanted to be clear on that.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} So this -- and this job was a demo of a 6-inch line?

A. Yes. In St. Thomas.

Q. In St. Thomas. Okay.

All right. Do you have any personal knowledge of Andrew Canning doing anything to retaliate against you for bringing up these issues with respect to error or impropriety?

A. Yes.

Q. What, specifically? What is that knowledge?

A. He would go out further out of his way to prolong

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 our jobs, or to reject our quotes.

Q. And what informs you that he went out of his way to do that?

A. For example, in this RIO panel, we gave him three quotes that he requested. First one was too high. The second one was too low. The third one, we send them back the first quote, and it's okay.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} $\,$ And so did they ultimately accept that third quote?

A. Andrew Canning did.

Q. And you got the work?

A. Yes

Q. Do you recall a meeting with Traeger Brothers in June of 2021, June 22nd, 2021, where, if I understand correctly, Petro told IPOS that Canning was a problem for delays?

A. I don't know if Petro told him that. I was present at the meeting.

Q. And what do you remember about the meeting?

A. Dave was here on island and he was visiting St. Thomas.

Q. Dave who?

A. Dave Tillman. And he was visiting St. Thomas, and he asked for a meet with Merlin, at the time, in St. Thomas. The conversation came up during the meeting about the

> Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

> > 72

71

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

valves, and that's where the valves, Dave Tillman said the valves are available within two months. And these valves had been sourced about three to four years with Andrew Canning.

Q. Okay. So who, exactly, was present at that meeting?

A. Merlin, Rawle Granger, Coury Hodge, myself, Merlin. I said Merlin, right? Yeah.

Q. And Dave Tillman from Traeger Brothers?

A. That's correct.

Q. And was Andrew Canning at the meeting?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{He was right next door.} \quad \text{He was not at the} \\$ meeting.

Q. Okay.

A. He was in his office.

Q. So, to your knowledge, you don't know that he heard anything that was said at the meeting?

A. No. Don't know.

Q. Okay. All right. And do you know whether Andrew Canning ever had any knowledge of what was said at the meeting at any point?

A. No, I would not have know.

Q. Okay. And -- all right. Fair enough. With respect to the -- oh, never mind. Okay. Do you recall there coming a time when

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

there was a concern about Andrew Canning communicating directly with Petro?

A. Andrew Canning have to communicate directly with Petro. That's how the work is to proceed at IPOS. Any kind of maintenance, any kind of activities, Andrew Canning was the one who was instructing us from the beginning.

Q. Okay. All right. Do you ever recall there becoming an issue with respect to him communicating directly with Petro, such that he then began communicating through Merlin or IPOS?

A. Andrew had a problem with communicating with our employees and Petro, so that's why I was sent on every project, St. Thomas/St. Croix, so he could communicate through me to the employees. They speak perfectly good English. They understand clear directions. But he wanted to tell them what and how to do their job.

Q. Okay. And that was of concern to Petro?

A. It's a cost to Petro, because Andrew Canning did not want to pay for my salary, my plane ticket, my hotel going to St. Thomas.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ Okay. All right. I want to make sure I understand what your testimony is.

You're saying that you became an intermediary between Mr. Canning and the Petro workers?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. And did you have any problem with 1 2 that? 3 That's how I make my living. A. 4 Right. Okay. Q. 5 So I shouldn't have a problem with it. Α. 6 And Petro then directs its own employees, right? Q. 7 Α. 8 Q. And you -- is it your testimony that Andrew 9 Canning should or should not have been communicating 10 directly with the Petro employees? 11 Should. Α. 12 You think he should? 13 A. Yes, 'cause if you're not paying me, why should I 14 go there? Who's paying me? Andrew Canning don't want to 15 pay me. So why am I going to buy a plane ticket, rent a 16 car, pay a hotel, and don't get pay? Does that make any 17 sense to anyone? Q. So what -- are we talking now about a specific 18 19 project that was going on in St. Thomas? 20 Every project that went on in St. Thomas. 21 Every single project? 22 Yes. A. 23 Q. All right. And so did Petro have -- the employees that we're talking about, did they have employees that were

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. No.

Okay. So they were either coming from St. Croix Q. or Puerto Rico?

St. Croix and Puerto Rico, yes.

And they would go to the St. Thomas facility to do a project?

A. Yes.

All right. And did they have a foreman or a superintendent that was overseeing their work?

A. Johnny is the superintendent over there. Supervisor over there.

Q. And what's -- is that Johnny's first name or last name?

Johnny Alfonseca. Α.

Johnny Alfonseca? Q.

Α.

Is he Puerto Rican? Q.

I think he's Dominican. Α.

Okay. Where did he live at that time? Q.

St. Thomas.

So you did -- so Petro did have someone who lived 0. in St. Thomas?

A. So projects, and you got maintenance. So the maintenance people, if we got to bring them back and forth, that would be a big cost, so we did get a few guys from

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

76

75

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

residing in St. Thomas?

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 St. Thomas who lived there.

Q. Okay. And Johnny Alfonseca was in charge of the maintenance people in St. Thomas?

Α. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And were the maintenance people welders and boilermakers and alike?

A. Boilermakers.

Just boilermakers? 0.

Boilermakers. Yes, just boilermaker. A.

Okay. Do you know if any of those boilermakers in St. Thomas were from the States?

No. Α.

They were all from St. Thomas? Q.

A. St. Thomas.

Okay. And do you know whether or not Andrew Q. Canning had any difficulty communicating with Johnny Alfonseca?

A.

Q. And how -- what's your knowledge of that?

A. Johnny take his responsibilities from Coury Hodge. While doing so, Andrew would interrupt Johnny's job or his task for the day, pull him off, do something else. And because on several occasion, Andrew pointed out that he is the representative of Vitol here. He had to stop his task, and do something else.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

At the end of the day, Johnny's task would not be completed, he's doing what Andrew wanted, not what Coury Hodge wants. So we had a problem with communication there. Coury Hodge would call us directly. Adrian. Adrian will ask me what happened here.

Talk to Johnny, 'cause we're in St. Croix, he's in St. Thomas. And that was the explanation. Andrew would pull him off, do this over here. He had the task for the day with Coury Hodge, and communication breakdown back and forth.

Q. All right. So am I to understand, then, as a result of this communication breakdown, you got put into the intermediary position?

A. On all projects, yes. On projects, not maintenance.

Q. So putting aside whether or not people agreed to pay you or not to do that, you then became the intermediary for Petro with Canning?

Α. Yes.

Q. And then Canning would talk to you about the scope of the work, and then you could have your employees do it?

Q. All right. And did you have any problems communicating with Mr. Canning?

No. Α.

Okay. So was that a workable solution? 1 1 okav. 2 A. That was a workable solution. 2 I was called to Andrew Canning office, where he 3 was ranting, cursing with foul language in regards to the 3 Okay. There was a point in time, I think it's 4 February of 2021, when Mr. Canning had a fall on a platform 4 poor, shitty, shoddy work, incompetent welders that Petro 5 in St. Thomas, right? 5 kept. Can't do anything correctly. 6 Yes. 6 And that was Mr. Canning's office in St. Thomas? Α. 7 Were you personally present at that time? Α. That's correct. 8 A. I was on site. I wasn't present where he was. He 8 And that was at the WAPA facility? 9 was in WAPA; I was on IPOS. 9 That's at the IPOS facility. 10 Q. Okay. And just so I'm clear, when you say you 10 So that was at the IPOS facility --Q. 11 were "on IPOS," you were in IPOS, does that mean you were in 11 Α. 12 the propane facility? 12 Q. -- up on the hill? 13 A. In St. Thomas, the propane facility is up here. 13 That's correct. Α. 14 And about a 20-minute ride or 10-minute ride down, that's 14 All right. Just so I have the chronology correct, 15 15 Mr. Canning had his incident down in the WAPA facility. where WAPA is. down here. Q. Okay. And that's different from the way it's set 16 16 Then made his way back up to his office in the IPOS 17 facility, where you were already up at the IPOS facility, 17 up in St. Croix? 18 and then you went, when you were called to his office? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And, just for the record, how is the setup in 19 Α. That's right. 20 St. Croix? 20 Okay. And was that the first you had notice of 21 Α. It's right next door to each other. 21 what had happened to Mr. Canning, or had somebody either 22 22 radioed or telephoned you before you met with Mr. Canning? Got it. 23 And were you called to the WAPA part of the 23 A. No. I didn't know what he was calling about.

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

So you went in there, innocent of knowing

80

79

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

about any incident?

facility after the incident?

No.

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Right. Α.

And then encountered him? Q.

Riaht. Α.

Got it.

And what -- did you ever come to make -- have an understanding of what happened?

Yes. Α.

Q. And what was your -- what informed your understanding?

A. My understanding, the task was not completed on that platform that Andrew Canning went up. Fall through.

If Andrew Canning had gotten a permit from IPOS, he would have understand that. Operations will give you the permit. Petro tells them, because that's who we are working for. It's their facility. This job is incomplete. We're missing some clips. Please barricade it off. Don't let anybody go in there. That was told to Coury Hodge.

Q. And Coury -- for the record, Coury Hodge is who?

A. The maintenance for IPOS. He runs the maintenance department.

Q. And who told Coury Hodge that the job was not complete?

Myself.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. And when did you do that in relationship to when 2 Andrew Canning had his incident?

A. About two weeks prior.

So the job had been incomplete for two weeks, waiting on the clips?

That's correct.

Got it.

That's right after the storm, when they had all the shipment not coming in, so the clips were missing.

You're talking about the Irma/Maria storms?

Yeah. Somewhere around there.

11 So it's late 2017? 0. Right.

12 Α.

> All right. Am I correct that Petro did not barricade the area or put up tape or caution tape to keep people off the platform; is that correct?

> A. Petro do not have to do that. We have to report this to Coury Hodge. It's their equipment. It's their property. They will have to barricade it off.

Q. All right. So Petro did not do that, right?

A.

Okay. And your testimony is that Petro did not have to do that?

A. No.

24 That IPOS should do that? Q.

25 Α. Yes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But Petro could have done it, correct? 1 2 MS. ROHN: Objection. Argumentative. (Mr. Beckstedt) Am I right, if Petro is working on 3 4 the platform, when it's done, knowing the platform is not 5 completed, it could have put some sort of sign or barricade 6 up, correct? 7 A. So there's certain valves on top of there. 8 Operation went up there. They were knowledgeable that it was not complete. They have to open the valves on top of 9 10 this platform. On every shift and every day. No one fell 11 through. Operations was aware of the situation. 12 If someone was pretty much aware, and go through the proper steps to go down in there, they clearly 13 14 would have known. 15 0. Got it. Did you do an investigation of this incident? 16 Our safety person did the investigation, not 17 18 mvself. 19 Q. And that was Mr. Kirsch? 20 That's right. 21 All right. And so the information that you have 22 is based upon -- did you review that incident report? No, I did not. 23 24 Q. You did not?

> Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. So did you speak with Mr. Kirsch?

A. Adrian Melendez would have done it.

Q. Where are you getting your knowledge of what happened to Mr. Canning? Just from Mr. Canning?

A. From Mr. Canning.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \mbox{Okay.} \ \ \, \mbox{And what exactly did Mr. Canning tell you happened?}$

A. He fell through the grating.

Prior for him saying so, David Nagle was with him. He said Andrew was jumping on the platform.

Q. Did David Nagle say that to you?

A. To me. Right -- Andrew was sitting right here. David Nagle was standing right in the door.

O. Got it.

 $\mathbf{A.}$ $\,$ And he fell through the platform by jumping on the platform.

Q. And this is Mr. Canning's office?

A. Mr. Canning's office in St. Thomas, yes.

Q. So you get called to Mr. Canning's office, which you don't know why he's calling you?

A. Um-hum.

Q. He's upset or furious about the incident?

A. Yes

Q. Mr. Nagle is there as well --

A. That's correct.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

84

83

83

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. -- standing in the doorway? You're inside the office?

A. Yes.

A. No.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Who else was there, other than Mr. Canning, Mr. Nagle, and yourself?

A. Frank Kirsch came after.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad$ So while the meeting was going on, Mr. Kirsch attended?

A. Yes.

Q. Got it.

Did Mr. Kirsch say anything?

A. It was not a meeting. This was a shouting match.

Q. I understand.

But while the conversation, the shouting match, was occurring, Mr. Kirsch showed up?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Did Mr. Kirsch engage at all?

A. Mr. Kirsch got very upset, because Andrew Canning start cursing at Mr. Kirsch.

Q. What were the curse words that Mr. Canning used?

A. What the F are you guys doing here? You're supposed to be the F-ing safety person, and I fell through the platform.

Q. Okay. Is there anything else about that conversation that you recall happening?

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

A. Not to my knowledge. Not with Mr. Kirsch.

Mr. Kirsch turned around and walked out. Said, you will not
be speaking to me like that. I do not communicate that way
to you, and he turned around and left.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Okay. Do you have knowledge regarding Mr. Canning blaming the Petro crew for forging gate logs related to the RIO Shades project?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I believe that's one of the incidents you were talking -- referring to earlier on in the testimony, correct? About Mr. Canning's discriminatory conduct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. What do you know about that? What personal knowledge do you have about the RIO Shades, the allegations for forging the gate logs?

A. In St. Thomas and St. Croix, it's different.
In St. Thomas, the security guard will stop

In St. Thomas, the security guard will s wyou, and ask you, and give you to sign yourself in.

On St. Croix, the security guard would sign

you.

Q. Okay.

A. You'll just have to show up. What's your name.
Mr. Persuad, they sign you in. You work for Petro? Yes.
They'll put that down.

Q. Okay. I got you.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

So in St. Thomas, the workers have to sign in Q. I get it. But what I'm trying to say is, the gate 1 1 2 their time? 2 log is not the document that Petro uses for recording its 3 3 A. Yes. employees' time, correct? 4 And --4 No. Q. A. 5 A. You sign a gate log. Time of entrance. You go to 5 You use a Petro document? 0. 6 lunch or whatever. You leave the facility to go to Home 6 That's correct. 7 Depot, electrical store, whatever, you will sign out and Q. A timesheet? 8 sian back in. 8 Yes. A. Okay. Who fills out the timesheet for the Petro 9 9 Q. Okay. And you signed this log yourself? Q. 10 Yes. In St. Thomas. Not in St. Croix. 10 employees? A. 11 Right. 11 The supervisor who's on site. Α. 12 And in St. Thomas, who writes the time down 12 Okay. So if it was maintenance work over in St. Thomas, would that be Mr. Alfonseca? on the logs? 13 13 Security. 14 14 Α. That's correct. Α. 15 Got it. 15 Got it. Q. ο. And so he fills out a timesheet for his crew? 16 So there's a gate log --16 17 17 Α. Yes. Α. Yes. -- in St. Thomas? 18 All right. And so I understand the difference now 18 Q. ο. 19 19 between St. Thomas and St. Croix's gate logs. A. Is that different from the timesheets that -- that 20 Q. 20 Can you please continue to tell me what 21 Petro fills out for its employees? 21 knowledge you have about Andrew Canning blaming the Petro 22 A. I wouldn't say what the gate log reflect, but if 22 crew for forging gate logs? 23 we're there for eight hours, and we leave to go to the store 23 Α. 24 to buy material or parts that we need, that gate log will 24 Job in regards to the RIO panel? 25 reflect that. 25 Q. Correct.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

88

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I spoke to Andrew Canning prior to that happened the day before, that our employees would be on site. We had an emergency. I phoned Mr. Canning. Our employees will be a little late. Our supervisor, Elias, dropped the employees off and went to look at the emergency. So the employees was there on site: His welder, fitter, and -- I think his welder and fitter. And Mr. Elias Rivera went to look at another job.

Q. This is St. Thomas, right?

A. St. Croix.

Q. This is St. Croix?

A. Yes.

Q. I apologize.

And we're talking about Elias Rivera?

A. Yes.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\,$ Okay. So he was the counterpart to Mr. Alfonseca, but on St. Croix?

A. On this particular job, yes.

Q. Okay. So he dropped off the welder and fitter, and then went to look at an emergency at another project that Petro was doing somewhere else?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And so -- so continue. So how -- so -- so tell me what knowledge you have about Andrew Canning blaming the Petro crew for forging the gate logs?

87

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

A. Like I said, on St. Croix, the security guard would sign you in.

Q. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{The guys -- two guys showed up.} \quad \text{They went in.}$ Elias went about his business. Andrew Canning went back and saying these guys signed in at 7 o'clock, when they were not there at 7 o'clock. That's my understanding.

 ${\bf Q.}$ Okay. So what I'm confused about, or not understanding in your testimony, is what was Andrew Canning looking at that suggested that --

A. The gate logs.

Q. The gate logs.

That are filled out by the security guard?

A. Yes.

Q. So what you're saying is that Andrew Canning was falsely accusing the Petro employees when, in fact, it's the security guard who doctored the logs or miswrote the time?

A. Yes

Q. That's Petro's -- that's your understanding?

A. That's my understanding.

 $\textbf{Q.}\quad \text{Okay.} \quad \text{Did you ever look at the gate logs?}$

Α.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} All right. And what time did the gate logs reflect?

A. I can't -- I don't know, honestly.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. How did you get pulled into this situation?

Because I was running that job. Α.

Q. So Canning spoke to you?

Canning spoke to myself and Adrian, yes. A.

And then am I following correctly, that you then went and looked at the logs?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

Q. Okay. So you went over to the security guard and asked, Can I see the logs?

A. Well, I think how -- Andrew Canning pulled the logs. Photocopied or sent it out. I can't remember exactly. And then after, maybe about 12 o'clock or after 1:00, or whatever, whatever time, I went to look at the logs. But I think Andrew Canning already made a scene about this.

In the past, Andrew Canning has always accused us of stealing time. Stealing the company's money. And we -- all Andrew Canning has to ask, if he doesn't understand the timesheet, or if there's a correction to be made, it will be made, and it always has been that in the past.

Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that there have been times where the timesheets have been questioned and then they've been corrected?

Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. And they were -- would you agree with me that there have been times where Petro's timesheets were corrected because they were incorrect?

A. No.

Andrew Canning was -- he had to have an understanding of how the timesheet is.

For example, again, if I put my time on the timesheet, I'm running this job, and I can't get paid for it, who's going to pay me?

Q. Okay. I understand what you're saying, but I'm -what I want to know is, you've told me that Petro has corrected its timesheets in the past?

A. Yes, I have.

All right. Corrected, in and of itself, means that the timesheet was incorrect, so then it gets corrected. That's what the word "corrected" means.

Do you have a different understanding of the word "corrected"?

Α. No.

Okay.

The correction we made was a error on behalf of Andrew Canning, and where Petro lost, several times, hundreds of dollars. The reason being, the timesheets are coming three months later after our job is done. And Andrew Canning would hold up three to six months of payroll of

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

92

91

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Petro to correct one or two hours in a timesheet.

Okay.

A. And basically, upon myself, when I put myself on that timesheet.

Q. All right. So are you talking about the incident where --

Several incident. Not just this incident. A.

Q. Okav.

Α. Several incidents.

Have any of the labor, non-management employees' time, been corrected on any timesheets that have been submitted for Mr. Canning's review?

Based upon, like I said, three months or four months. It was done for convenience to Andrew Canning in order for Petro to get paid.

Q. Okay. So that's -- that's different.

So what you're saying is, Petro submitted timesheets that it believed, and to this day believes, accurately reflected the time that its employees worked, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Andrew Canning had problems with those timesheets. And Petro, motivated by getting paid and not having invoices held up, decided to change the time to meet Andrew Canning's approval, and then resubmit the changed timesheets so that CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

it could get paid? That's what you're saying?

Yes. That's exactly what I'm saying.

Okay. That's what I'm trying to understand.

So you have never corrected timesheets

because they were incorrect; you've just changed them to satisfy the customer, so to speak?

Α.

And you believe that every one of those timesheets that you've -- that Petro has changed, were actually correct, right?

A. Exactly.

Q. Okay. And those timesheets were completed by not -- there's no -- so there's no individual sign-in that Petro requires its employees to complete?

A. At the end of the day, the supervisor and his crew would have -- we have Johnny. We have Simon. We have Adrian on a timesheet. At the end of the day, you'll put the times in for each, and submit something to the office at the end of the week.

0. Got it.

Am I correct that there came a time where Andrew Canning saw a timesheet that had an employee getting paid for time that would have included periods prior to them being logged into the facility on the security guard logs?

Not to my knowledge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Not to your knowledge. Okay.

Did you have any involvement in formulating budgets for projects?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. What was your involvement?

 $\textbf{A.} \quad \text{I would give the estimated time, manpower,} \\ \text{duration.}$

Q. All right.

 \mathbf{A}_{\bullet} . I will not solely do this. It would involve Adrian and myself.

Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in determining the numbers for the budget? In other words, like, Okay. We're going to pay the manpower this much, and we're going to mark it up that much?

A. No.

Q. Okay. So you're just, I'm going to need three welders, and two boilermakers, and it's going to take five days to do the project, and -- and then -- and then Mr. Melendez was responsible for doing the number crunching?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. Do you have any personal knowledge as to what any of the markup or profit margins --

A. No.

Q. -- are of the company?

A. No.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. Do you ever -- through your job duties and responsibilities for Petro during the period of the IPOS contract, did you ever have to go in and do any work on any of the accounting software, like QuickBooks or anything?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

(Respite.)

 $\mbox{All right. One last topic area I want to} \\ \mbox{talk about. I think I'll be done.}$

There came a time, if I understand correctly, in March of 2021, when Canning questioned Petro with regard to welding procedures, do you recall that?

A. Yes

Q. And what do you remember about that?

A. He asked --

MS. ROHN: Objection. Overly broad. You can answer.

A. My understanding of this, right?

Any company that goes to work for IPOS, HOVENSA, any company that is doing welding, you present your welding procedures. And then the client would ask for what they need at that time. Not three years later. Not, you know, three months later, immediately that comes along with it. So if I did 25 welds, or 125 welds, and you're going to come three years later and ask for a welding certification,

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

96

95

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

I don't know how that goes, sir.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ $\mbox{ (Mr. Beckstedt)}$ Okay. I'm talking about welding procedures.

Does Petro -- did Petro have its own welding procedures?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Was Petro aware of whether or not IPOS was required to follow Vitol welding procedures and specifications for the work done at the propane facilities?

A. Everything was asked up front. This was not asked of us with regard to welding certification, I understand.

Q. Okay. So let's --

MS. ROHN: Listen to his question.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) I'm not -- we're leaving welding certification now. We're moving to a different topic.

A. Um-hum.

Q. Welding procedures.

A. Right.

Q. Materials --

A. Right.

Q. -- that are required. What needs to be done with respect to welding.

Petro has its own welding procedures,

24 correct?

A. That's correct.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. And its own policies on welding, right?

A. Yes

Q. Are you familiar with, or were you familiar with, at the time that you were doing work for IPOS, that there were Vitol welding procedures that were required for the work at the WAPA facility?

 $\mbox{\bf A.} \quad \mbox{None was presented, and none was given to us, so $\tt I$} \\ \mbox{was not aware of it.}$

Q. SO IPOS ---A. NO.

No.

Α.

Α.

Q. -- never conveyed those procedures?

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Do you -- do you have any knowledge regarding an attempt by IPOS in September of 2020 to work directly with Petro and to remove Canning from the equation?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. Do you have any information or knowledge relating to Petro being accused of stealing bid information from Traeger Brothers related to the 1-inch vent line project?

A. That was shared by Dave Tillman.

22 **Q.** So you have information?

A. I don't have any information, no.

Q. Okay. Were you involved in it in any way?

A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this was -- what you know about it, you received from, who, Mr. Melendez?

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No personal knowledge yourself? Q.

Α.

No interaction with Traeger Brothers yourself? Q.

A. No.

Mav I?

MS. ROHN: Go ahead. He's already -- he's already in so much trouble that he can't help himself.

I'm going to order material. Let's say 1-inch material from Traeger Brothers. You're going to order 1-inch material from Traeger Brother. The same amount of material, the cost is going to be the same to you, the same cost to me. So I don't understand if they're saying we're stealing or inside trading information. I -- I missed that totally.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) All right. But the bottom line is, you didn't have any personal --

No.

-- involvement --Q.

MS. ROHN: Let him finish his question.

(Mr. Beckstedt) You didn't have any personal involvement in -- in that bidding?

Α. No.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

MR. BECKSTEDT: Okay. All right. So what I'd like to do, at this point, is pass the witness. I might reserve a right to ask a couple of other questions after the other lawyers go. I don't think I have anything more, but that's where I'm at right now.

MS. ROHN: Okay. Well, it's noon. So how about if this is a good time to take a break?

MR. BECKSTEDT: Simone, Andy, are you good with that?

MS. FRANCIS: Yeah. That's fine.

MR. SIMPSON: Yes.

(Lunch recess taken.)

(Mr. Beckstedt) All right. Mr. Persuad, I have a few more questions. I was looking over my notes.

First of all, you had testified earlier that Mr. Nagle -- and I think this was in respect to the RIO panels, had made some mistakes with respect to the design. and that you were, I guess, chastised by Mr. Canning for not catching the mistakes and following Mr. Nagle's design; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Okay. And just what were the mistakes that Mr. Nagle made that -- that Mr. Canning thought you should have caught?

Well, not thought we should've caught it. Went

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

100

99

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

out directly and instruct the welders. He would take a measurement. Weld here. Weld here. That was it. The guys would weld what he wanted to do. Measure it off, the other part wouldn't fit. They'd have to cut it back out.

Initially, this whole project we gave him time for each one, and Andrew Canning said we're crazy. It took about two days for each one. According to Andrew Canning, it's a puzzle that you're supposed to put together within four hours. Very impossible.

Q. So you're saying that Mr. Nagle would come out and tell your people where to cut? Is that what you're saying?

A. Mr. Nagle, he designed it. He went out to the factory and looked at it. He came back with drawings, and he's the one supposed to oversee this job with Mr. Canning.

The guys would continue doing what they're doing. We did a couple of them without him. But when Nagle's in sight, Guys, let me take a measurement. You look off here. Okay. He'll put it in. Guys will clamp it. Weld it. Boom. Measure it up. Two inches off; other part is three inches off. Cannot fit together. Why did we not catch it?

Nagle is the one who design it, and instructing the guys, interfering with their work, and Canning's after us, You guys are taking too long.

Q. Is this what -- was it this type of work that led

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the allegations or the remarks about not being able to use a tape measure?

Α. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you said that Mr. Canning interacted with Mr. Nagle differently than he interacted with the Petro employees, right?

Yes. Α.

Can you just give me examples of how you observed Mr. Canning interacting differently with Mr. Nagle?

A. That same example I just gave you. He wouldn't have said anything to Nagle, but he would come and chastise our employee for not being able to measure.

O. Got it.

You also said that Andrew Canning accused Petro of forgery and robbing the company?

Α. Yes.

All right. What were the -- what were the accusations of forgery?

Not so much forgery, but robbing the company. Α.

Okav.

I remember on several occasion, myself and Adrian, we worked in the same trailer when we were doing the designing and building for Aggreko. And he came back, and said, You guys are robbing the company. I'm going to report you to the highest. Nothing ever came about it. He never

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brought anything, but he was very much in a rage when he said that. Q. And when he said, "robbing the company," which

> IPOS. Α.

company was he referring to?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Okay. How does the Aggreko work fit in there? Q.

A. Well, Andrew Canning worked on the IPOS side. And Aggreko is something that they were going to sell propane to. So he was the lead designer and the liaison between IPOS and Aggreko.

So the remarks that you're talking about with respect to "robbing the company," related -- did not relate to work that Petro was doing under the IPOS maintenance contract?

A. Yes. There was work, because they had worked for the same time for the -- Aggreko. You had to do certain modification on the IPOS side.

O. Got it. Okav.

You -- if I follow correctly, you had said -you had testified that when the issue of providing welding certificates came up, that was for the 3-inch vent line to atmosphere?

Α.

Q. And if I follow your testimony earlier correctly, this had been the first time that you were aware that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Mr. Canning had asked for these qualifications, right?

Α. That is correct.

And if I follow further, you're saying that you had done lots of work on arguably more dangerous lines like propane lines and whatnot that actually have substance, hazardous substance in them, and you had never been asked for the qualifications for that work, right?

A. That is correct.

Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, prior -- when did the 3-inch vent line project take place?

A. Right before -- I can't give you a date, but right before our contract was pulled.

Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say that sometime in the late -- or in spring or early summer of '21? Your contract was pulled, when, July of '21, I believe?

MS. ROHN: If you don't know, say you don't

know.

A. No.

MS. ROHN: He's not going to guess.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Do you recall the year 2021?

I don't know.

All right. 0.

> Α. Honestlv.

Okay. The vent -- so this all -- the vent line project had been completed by the time the contract was

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

104

103

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

pulled, right?

A. Yes.

Okay. But there were still concerns about getting Q. the documentation, right? The qualifications and other records?

I think that's correct, yes. A.

Okay. And how long did the vent line project Q. take?

Α. My guesstimate, I would say three months. Two months.

Q. Okay. And at no time had -- before the project started, nobody had told you that they were going to need the welding certifications?

A. No.

Q. Okay. I'm going to mark -- who told you that they needed -- that you needed the welding certifications? Who actually told you?

MS. ROHN: So he's not -- he is not IPOS. I mean, he's not Petro. You keep saying, who told you? So you mean him, personally, because he is not Petro.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Yes. That is what I mean.

MS. ROHN: Okay.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Who told you, Chad Persuad, that they needed the welding certifications?

No one told me directly.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Nobody?

No. Adrian. It was Adrian.

Adrian told you? Q.

Yeah. Α.

And he told you after the project was completed?

Whenever Andrew Canning send that e-mail out, ${\tt I}$ quess, that's when it was.

Okay. And you don't remember that date? 0.

Α. Don't remember.

Okay. Fair enough. I won't use that exhibit. I want to ask you a couple questions about

the timesheets.

You testified that, to your knowledge, all of the timesheets that were completed for the projects that you were involved in, they were accurate, correct, for the employees at Petro?

Α. To my knowledge.

Okay. You said that some of the discrepancies with respect to the logbooks that were at the facility would be because of employees had to go outside the facility to do part of their job, like get materials and things?

That's correct.

Okay. Are you familiar with concerns that Mr. Canning had that employees checked in hours later, where their timesheets said they were working in the morning?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge of timesheets that

were changed, or where time had been entered after Mr. Canning had received the timesheets?

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What knowledge do you have of that? 0.

A. In St. Thomas, one particular job. And we brought it to Andrew Canning -- in jobs that Andrew Canning, we would have -- here's a timesheet. Review it and sign off on it.

There was one guy that was not added to the timesheet, and the following morning we brought it to Andrew Canning, brought to his attention. We added somebody here, and he was aware of it.

> MS. ROHN: There's an e-mail about that. MR. BECKSTEDT: Right.

Q. (Mr. Beckstedt) Okay. So let's talk about that e-mail. I will mark this as -- this was marked as Exhibit 7 to the Melendez deposition. I'm going to mark it as --

MS. ROHN: Oh, why don't we keep the numbers the same, so it just --

MR. BECKSTEDT: Can we go off the record for one second?

(Discussion off the record.)

(Mr. Beckstedt) All right. So I'm going to show

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

the witness what we previously marked as Melendez Exhibit Number 7.

A. Sorry, guys. I didn't walk with my glasses. I didn't know I'd have to read some stuff.

Q. So you can't read it?

Not from here, no. Α.

MR. BECKSTEDT: All right. Does anyone have any reading glasses?

MS. ROHN: Mine wouldn't look very good on him.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Just for reading. We don't have video.

MS. ROHN: No, it will stretch out my new glasses. I like my new glasses.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, guys. I didn't know I needed them.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Do you mind, for the future depositions of the people that you bring, that if they need reading glasses, that you instruct them to bring them?

MS. ROHN: I had no idea that somebody who needed reading glasses wouldn't bring them with them.

THE WITNESS: I didn't know I had to read anything. Sorry. This is my first, ever, lawyer confrontation.

(Mr. Beckstedt) So, in order to push through this,

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

108

107

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

I'm going to read into the record, and if anybody thinks I'm misconstruing or representing what this document says, they'll object, and we can deal with it.

But this is an e-mail from Andrew Canning on January 21st, 2021 at 3:47:47 p.m. to Santhia Rodriguez, David Smith, Coury Hodge, Cyla Gooding, and copied on it are a number of people, including Adrian Melendez and Chad Persuad. So presumably you received a copy of this e-mail. It's very long. I'm not going to read everything. But it's a follow-up under the subject: Updated AR.

And Andrew says, "Santhia, Thank you for the reply in organising the timesheets in question."

And then he has different headings. And it talks about Authorized Timesheets, Private Jet Charter and Timing, Welder hours for December 11th, 2020, and Levels of PIS Management Changed, okay? Those are the headings under the e-mail.

Do you have any recollection of this e-mail?

- A. Not the e-mail. We discussed it with Adrian.
- Q. Okay. But you have a recollection of these topics being discussed?
 - Yes.

Q. In this e-mail, with respect to the timesheets, "It is clear that two of the timesheets have been changed since signing which under any circumstances is an

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

unacceptable practice, however in the two cases presented (the 11th and 12th of December 2021) I am satisfied that there was no fraudulent intent related to the changes just an oversight of the specialist welder who's name, site hours and cumulative -- cumulative -- cumulative," excuse me.

MS. ROHN: Easy for you to say.

(Mr. Beckstedt) -- "time has been entered after I signed the timesheets."

Okay. That's the first sentence. Does that ring a bell?

- Α. Yes.
- Okay. Is that what you were just talking about? Q.
- A.
- Okay. And then Andrew says, "Clearly this oversight should have been recognised and brought to my attention and no amendments made on the signed and authorized timesheets. Going forward," and then he talks about a procedure.
 - May I?

MS. ROHN: Now, sir, I'm going to have to take you outside.

A. All right. Go ahead.

MS. ROHN: Let him finish his question, then answer his question.

(Mr. Beckstedt) All right. So that last sentence,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where Mr. Canning says, the "oversight should have been recognised and brought to my attention," do you agree or disagree that this was not brought to his attention? A. It was brought to his attention.

Q. Okay. And you're saying that you brought it to his attention the day after?

A. The job finished at 1 o'clock in the morning. Andrew left before we did. The timesheet was brought to his attention. 7 o'clock the next day.

Q. Meaning, the change of the timesheet?

A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And so who brought it to Mr. Canning's attention?

A. I brought it to him.

Q. You, personally?

Brought the timesheet. Here, Andrew, I added this person to it.

Q. Okay. All right. I have -- also have here, which I'm going to show you for the record, but you can -- I understand you can't read this. It is Melendez Number 8.

You can't read this, correct?

No. Α.

> Q. okay.

I'm trying. Α.

Don't even try. It's bad quality to begin with. 0.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

There's one -- there is an e-mail in here, which you are copied on, which is Thursday, January 21st, 2021 at 11:51 a.m. from Mr. Melendez, Jr. to Merlin Figueira, with a copy of various people, including yourself, and it's regarding the subject, RIO Shades, okay?

And there had been some concerns brought up about various different things. I'll just tell them to see if it refreshes your recollection.

Quality of welds on the panel by the boiler room. Break times running long, including leaving early. work not progressing fast enough.

Do you have a recollection of those e-mail communications?

> Α. Yes.

Q. Okay. And Mr. Melendez writes back with respect to break times running long, including leaving early, "No excuse. The guys will improve on this," okay?

Α. Yes.

Do you recall Mr. Melendez saying that? Q.

Α.

Q. Do you agree that there was no excuse for the break times running long and the guys leaving early?

There's no excuse.

The guys have three breaks. Two breaks. I'm sorry. One at 9 o'clock to 9:15. Fifteen minutes. And

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

112

111

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

then they have one at lunchtime. They would omit the 9 o'clock break, 9:15, and just add a longer lunch. I'm aware of that.

O. okav.

Α. I explain this to Mr. Canning.

 \textbf{Q}_{\bullet} Okay. So when -- when Mr. Melendez says, "There's no excuse. The guys will improve on this," are you agreeing or disputing that the Petro employees were taking longer breaks than they were allowed?

A. Like I said, the lunchtime pushed over to 9:45 because they omitted a break. The 15-minute break at 9 o'clock.

Q. Okay. So your testimony is that they took breaks in the total amount of time that was allowed; they just didn't do it at the time that they were supposed to?

A. Yes.

But they didn't go over? Q.

I don't think they go over.

And you say you don't think -- okay.

So you would disagree that the break times

were running long?

Q. They were just taken at different times?

That's correct. A.

0. Okay. Now, what about leaving early? Did your

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

employees leave early before they should?

A. Work for us, and when I'm on site, stops at 3:15. Pack away their tools. Clean up the area at 3:15, which extends to 4 o'clock. Employee only gets paid till 3:30, so I would disagree with that.

Q. So you have no personal knowledge of any of your employees leaving early?

A. No.

Q. All right. So basically when Mr. Melendez said, "No excuse. The guys will improve on this," which you would agree with me, is an admission that the break times were running long and people were leaving early, right?

You would say that he admitted to something that wasn't actually happening?

A. I am out in the field; he's in the office.

Got it. Okav.

So the Petro employees never took long breaks and they never left early?

Α. In my knowledge, no.

Q. Okav.

> Before you go further, one more thing you said there.

> > Shoddy welds. This is a structural weld.

25 Not --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

1 I didn't say shoddy. 2 A. What did you say? I said, "Quality of a few welds on the panel by 3 0. 4 the boiler room." 5 This is a structure. 6 0. okay. 7 A. Anybody who's starting out to weld, this is how they learn to weld. These are qualified welders welding all 8 Andrew Canning piping. Pressure vessels. So for him to say 9 10 something like this, I disagree highly with that. 11 Got it. 12 Are you familiar with the company, Versa? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Who's Versa? Q. 15 A. Inspection company. 16 All right. And they inspect welds, right? 17 Α. All right. They're the ones that did the 18 0. 19 inspections that you were talking about earlier, where 20 they -- there could be a red flag; is that right? 21 A. Um-hum. 22 Q. Okay. I'd like to just show you a couple of these 23 reports and ask you a few questions. But going to be hard

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

without your reading glasses, but I'm going to try it, all

MS. ROHN: I may be able to save the day. I do have another pair of reading glasses.

You're stylish.

MS. ROHN: You're going to owe me.

(Mr. Beckstedt) All right. So I am marking Persuad Number 16. Tell me if you can read that.

> (Deposition Exhibit Persaud No. 17 was marked for identification.)

And for the people that are attending remotely, this is the Versa document I circulated, dated April 3, 2021, starting with PIS 239 Bates number.

MS. ROHN: This is Persaud 18?

MR. BECKSTEDT: Oh, did I write the wrong number? You're right. It's 17.

MS. ROHN: Seventeen.

MR. BECKSTEDT: My apologies. I can't count.

(Mr. Beckstedt) Are you able to read them with Q. those glasses?

Yes. It's there someplace.

Q. All right. So, first of all, Versa has put down, I guess, the client, Limetree Bay Refinery/IPOS.

Is there something on this document that tells you where -- where this work was? Where, that they're imaging? Where these welds are located?

I would say St. Croix IPOS.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

116

115

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

Q. Okay. Do you see on the second page, Bates number on the bottom, 240, the big black thick?

Α. Yes.

24

25

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

right?

Q. Up at the top, it says, "Client: LimeTree Bay Refinery/IPOS."

Do you see that?

Yes.

Q. All right. And it's just confusing me whether or not these are welds that they were examining that were out at the Limetree Bay refinery, or if they were actually at the propane facility at WAPA?

A. So, initially, these guys, they work out of Limetree Bay. Andrew Canning asked us to get a welding company with x-ray, which is nearly impossible without federal authorization to move the radiation that you need to have this.

We jumped through hoops to go to Limetree and ask them to do this.

They're contracted to Limetree Bay. Moving the radiation has to go back to one source, and one place only. And I would assume that's why they have Limetree Bay.

okay.

Because you have to go through so much federal mandate, just to move the radiation.

So on page -- on the third page of this document,

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

under 241, where it lists the components, and it says, "IPOS PURGE DRAIN LINE." Do you see that?

Um-hum. Yes.

Q. So this is out at the -- out at the WAPA facility, correct?

A. Yes.

Okay. So now I just am turning the pages. Q.

Α. Um-hum.

And is there a weld on here that has a red flag, as you described in your testimony earlier?

A. In regards to this, this would be interpreted, and reported back to the client. I'm not qualified to read this. I am not a welding inspector.

Okay. So let me understand.

You told me that if your welders are continually doing welding work, they don't need to be recertified, right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And the way that Petro maintains knowledge that their welders are qualified to do welds is by monitoring the x-ray, and is it phase array?

22

That was being done, and confirming that your welders aren't getting red flags, right?

That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q. Is this an example of an x-ray or phase array report that Petro would review to see if their welders are getting a red flag?

A. So it would be reviewed by the guy who's taking it. So one technician come out, take these welds. He doesn't know if they're good or bad. He's going to bring them back to somebody else to develop it. Read it. Go to his supervisor. And then we'll have something that comes out to say yes or no. Weld Number 1 is bad. Number 5 is bad. But for me to look at this now and tell you this is good, that is good, I am not qualified to do that, sir.

Q. I get that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

But ultimately, someone at Petro gets a report that says this was good or not good, right?

A. That's correct.

Does that report come in a different format than what we're seeing here?

A. No. It's pretty much same format, and it's marked up by the -- the inspection company.

Q. Can you turn to the last page of this document?

Α. Sure.

All right. I see something on this document that's in red with an arrow that says, "nonfusion" over the x-ray.

Do you see that?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Α. Yes.

What -- is that an example of a red flag? ο.

A. That's correct.

Like you were talking about before? Q.

Α.

All right. So this is something that would have Q. been given to Petro, and then you would look at it to see if there was a red flag, right?

A. That's correct.

Did you look at this when it came in? Q.

I looked at this when it came in. Like I said, it's not for us to determine we're going to go back and look at Weld Number 10. Whatever number is on this one. And this one has it. Read the inconsistency with the weld, and make a repair.

Q. Who made -- who did this weld?

A. Offhand, let me see. I can't say.

Is there anything on this x-ray that identifies your welder who did this?

There's a number it should be on there.

0. where?

It should be on the weld map, not on here. There's one of these numbers on the end over here, but it should be on the weld map, also.

So I'll go back to the weld map, and look at

120

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

119

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

it, and say, This is the guy who did it.

Q. Okay. Which number on this piece of paper that we're looking at, where it says -- has the red flag for non-fusion, signifies the welder who did the weld?

A. I'm not a hundred -- I'm not correct. I'm not sure which one is it --

Q. Okay.

Α. -- honestly.

Q. You're one of the quality control guys for Petro, right? You and Adrian?

A. And Adrian -- not Adrian. Javier Vazquez.

Q. Okay. And what's Javier Vazquez's title?

He's our quality control person, along with us.

Q. All right. So when I asked you earlier today who the quality control people were, you didn't mention him?

A. No, I did not. I failed to mention that. I didn't remember at the time.

Q. Is there anybody else who does quality control for Petro?

A. Not at this time, no.

Okay. But you're one of the people that does it? Q.

A.

And within the scope of your duties as a quality control person for Petro, you have the knowledge and ability to review these reports to determine whether your welders

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT

are -- are doing -- are properly welding?

According to this, alongside with a a weld map, you will determine.

Got it. 0.

Α.

And Petro keeps the weld maps in their possession?

7

How long do they keep those records? 0.

A. I cannot tell you that.

Got it.

All right. So I apologize. We got a little off track. But you're telling me that you can't see a number on this piece of paper that signifies --

I'm saying it's one of these numbers -- sorry about that.

Q. All right. You were just pointing when you were told to stop interrupting my question.

So there's numbers on the black x-ray up in the upper right corner, right?

Α. Um-hum.

> Is that correct? 0.

22 That's correct.

> All right. And you're saying one of those numbers identifies a welder?

Α. Yes

121 Canedialie V-000212-WAL-EAH Okay. But you're not sure which one of the 1 2 numbers identifies the welder? 3 A. Without a weld map, I cannot tell you that. 4 Okay. And if we looked at a weld map, there would Q. 5 be some number on the weld map --6 Associated with this. Α. 7 Q. Okay. And that would somehow tell you which one of these numbers actually signifies a welder? 8 9 That's correct. 10 Q. All right. And then where -- does the weld map 11 have the name of the welder that goes to the number? 12 It has a name and a stencil. 13 Q. what's a stencil? 14 Α. It's the number that you're looking at right 15 there. One of these numbers. Q. Okay. All right. These numbers have in front of 16 them -- well, one of them looks like a date. The second one 17 down looks like it's a date, 4/3/2021, is that a date? 18 19 Yeah, that's a date. A. 20 The one above it starts with the FW257 EB. Q. 21 Do you know what the FW or EB stands for? 22 No. A. 23 All right. The one below the date starts with the Q. 24 letter C, and then it's followed by five numbers. 25 Do you know what the C stands for? Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 123 CHETRAM PERSUAD -- DIRECT time? They were welding on stainless steel constantly? 1 2 A. Our business is welding. That's where we make our 3 most money. We weld stainless steel all the time, yes. 4 Q. Okay. What's the longest gap that you have between -- for each -- for your welders, what's the longest 5 6 period of time between welding stainless steel that they 7 have?

1 A. 2 0. And the last one starts with W. 3 Do you know what that stands for? 4 Α. No. 5 Okay. Do you know what a non-fusion is? Q. 6 Α. 7 Q. What's that? 8 It's not bonded together. A. Does that typically mean something that has to be 9 Q. 10 redone? 11 A. 12 Q. Do you know what kind of weld that was that we 13 just looked at that had the non-fusion? 14 A. well, if it's the 3-inch line we're talking about, 15 I would say it would be on that stainless steel part that we 16 welded. That's all I could refer to. 17 Q. Well, according to the second page that we looked 18 at, these are related to the IPOS purge drain line. 19 Is that different? 20 21 0. So based on that, do you know what kind of welds 22 these are? 23 Same stainless steel. Α. 24 Same stainless steel. 25 And your guys weld on stainless steel at that

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

124

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you okay to continue?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Sure.

BY MS. FRANCIS:

Q. Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Persuad. My name is Simone Francis, and I represent IPOS.

Are you able to hear me?

Clearly. Α.

Excellent.

Versa. You were just shown some report by Versa. Would you consider or regard Versa to be qualified to do the sort of testing that is represented in those

A. They're the number-one North American company that does inspection. Yes, they're more than qualified.

Q. And earlier, you were shown Exhibit 8, Melendez 8. That's the e-mail that speaks to the break times running long, including leaving early.

If the employees did not take breaks at their scheduled time, would you be the person responsible for monitoring or ensuring that the break times are taken at the scheduled time, or who has responsibility for that?

Their supervisor.

I'm sorry? 0.

Their supervisor.

Between the distilleries that we have locally here, which is primarily stainless steel.

Like Diageo and Cruzan Rum?

Yes. It's all stainless. Α.

And the same welders are out there welding? o.

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Got it.

So with the distilleries, what -- how -what's the most amount of time that you believe your welders go without welding stainless steel?

A. Christmas break. They take vacation.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Okay. All right. I think that exhausts my questions.

Do you need a break, Simone or Andy?

MS. FRANCIS: No, I can start.

MR. SIMPSON: I do not.

MS. FRANCIS: But before we start.

Mr. Melendez, are you okay -- I'm sorry. Mr. Persuad, are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And who would that have been in January of 2021? Is that -- I believe you mentioned a name earlier, but I just want to make sure. A. Elias Rivera.

Q. And was there a separate supervisor on St. Thomas, did you say?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And that individual's name? Q.

A. For maintenance, Johnny Alfonseca.

Q. And do you have personal knowledge whether either Mr. Rivera or Mr. Alfonseca would take measures to ensure that Petro employees took both a rest break and then a separate lunch break or meal break?

Α. Yes.

And what is your knowledge in that regard? Q.

Company's standard, it would be 9 o'clock to 9:15, is the morning break. The lunch break, 12 o'clock to 12:30.

If the job they're doing, they would speak to an IPOS representative. We would work -- 'cause the start, we start at 7:30. To stop two hours after setting up and all that stuff, you're just wasting time. So it was set up we work through the morning without that break, and then take a longer lunch break.

Q. In response to Attorney Beckstedt's questions, you had given some testimony about the interactions between

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Mr. Canning and Mr. Nagle. Do you recall that?

A.

I take it -- would it be fair to say that Mr. Canning and Mr. Nagle may have had interactions concerning work matters that you were not a party to, correct?

Α. Yes

I'm going to go back to some other issues.

During the lunch break, did you have any discussion with anyone about any testimony that you gave during the first part of the deposition?

Α. No.

Okay. During the lunch break, did you have any discussion with anyone about any testimony that you might give when the deposition resumed this testimony?

Α.

Q. And apart from the fact that you had not mentioned Mr. Javier Vazquez earlier in your deposition, is there any other testimony that you gave in response to questions this morning that you now realize contain some omission or misstatement?

Α.

Did you review any documents in preparation for your deposition?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

127

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Α. No.

Did you speak to anyone in preparation for your Q. deposition?

Attornev Lee Rohn. Α.

And when was that? Q.

Friday. A.

And by "Friday," are you referring to Friday, May Q. 19th?

A. I'm sorry. It was Saturday. Not Friday, Saturday.

Q. Okay. This past Saturday, May 20th, sir?

Α.

Was anyone else present for your conversation? Q.

Myself, Adrian Melendez, Ms. Lee Rohn.

And was the meeting on Saturday, May 20th, the only meeting that you had in preparation for your deposition?

Yes, ma'am. A.

Okay. How long did you meet? Q.

A. Fifteen minutes. Twenty minutes.

was that in person or by telephone or --Q.

In person. A.

> Q. -- video?

with respect to e-mail communications concerning the work that was being done, such as the e-mails

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

that are in Exhibit 7 and 8, what was your normal habit or practice?

Can you refresh what 7 and 8 is, please? A.

0. Sure.

Exhibit 7 would have been one of the exhibits you were shown while you didn't have the reading glasses. And that's the e-mail from Mr. Canning that speaks to the alterations of authorized timesheets, use of private jet charter and timing, welder hours. And Attorney Beckstedt read to you the portion of that e-mail that stated that Mr. Canning had determined that there was no fraudulent intent with respect to the changes, just an oversight.

And so my specific question was, did you tend to read e-mails during the business day? Did you read them at the end of the day? Did you typically respond by e-mail? Or leave it to Mr. Melendez to communicate by e-mail concerning matters related to the work that was being done at the propane facility?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Compound question. You can answer, if you can.

A. Ma'am, my poor eyesight, Adrian reads most of the e-mails. I do most of the work in the field.

(Ms. Francis) And tell me exactly the nature of your vision limitations?

I can't see close. I can see far.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

128

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	CONTRACTOR 4- COST VAL EATI DOCUMENT
1	Q. And was that the case during 2019, 2020, 2021?
2	A. Yes, ma'am.
3	Q. Did you attend high school in New York?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. And did you graduate from high school?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Following high school, did you undergo any other
8	formal education?
9	A. Two years of technical college.
10	Q. Where was that?
11	A. New York.
12	Q. I'm sorry. I did not hear you.
13	MS. ROHN: New York.
14	Q. (Ms. Francis) what institution?
15	A. New York Technical College.
16	${f Q}_{f s}$ And did you receive a degree or a certificate at
17	the end of that two-year period?
18	A. No, ma'am. I was one one point away from
19	graduating and start working. I never followed that.
20	Q. What were you studying during the two-year period
21	that you attended New York Technical College?
22	A. Machine tool technology.
23	Q. Have you, at any point since interrupting those
24	studies, attempted to resume formal education at any
25	institution, either in person or online?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

I went to a couple classes. Not institution. Informal setting.

Could you explain what you mean by that?

A. Went to training in regards to developing better work habits. Training in applications that we have to work on a pipe. Courses of that matter.

Q. Were those trainings or course work during your employment with Petro or before?

Before. Α.

Q. And with what companies?

Turner, Jacob, and HOVENSA.

Did you go directly from New York to St. Croix?

Yes, ma'am.

And what kind of work did you do in New York after you ended your studies at New York Technical College?

Worked in a machine shop at New York Transit Authority. Worked at Indian Point, a nuclear power plant for Con Edison, and their machine shop in Bronx, New York.

Q. Were those the only two entities that you worked for in New York before moving to St. Croix?

A. Yes. ma'am.

Q. In your current position with Petro, are you paid hourly or are you a salaried employee?

A. Hourly.

And was that also the case in 2019, 2020, and Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

132

131

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

2021?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. A.

Is your -- are your wages from Petro dependent Q. upon Petro being paid by clients for time that you spent working?

Yes, ma'am. A.

Okay. And can you explain how that works?

A. If Petro don't get paid, I don't get paid, based upon the work that we're doing. We're a small local company, and we depend. We don't have no major overheads. And if somebody doesn't pay for three months, we're pretty much going under.

Q. Sir, have there been occasions when Petro has withheld salary or wages from you because of a claim that it had insufficient funds to pay your -- pay you for work you had performed?

A. Yes. ma'am.

Okay. Tell me about that.

A. Again, directly from IPOS, because I'm a supervisor or manager, I would say most of our employees, they need to get paid. They do the work. And basically, it would be a discussion between myself and Adrian Melendez and our office manager. I miss this week, next week, and I'll get compensated whenever we can.

So even though you're not an owner of the

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

business, your wages are dependent upon the -- Petro receiving money or not receiving money from its clients?

That's correct. Α.

Are you paid any bonuses by Petro? 0.

A.

Okay. And have you -- has your salary or your hourly rate increased at all between January of 2019 and the present?

A.

When you travel for work, either between St. Thomas and St. Croix or elsewhere, does Petro advance the travel costs, or do you pay for that out of pocket and then seek reimbursement from Petro?

A. Petro would not give an advance, but they would have get the room for the hotel, rental car. And after the job is completed, you get reimbursed. That would be the per diem.

And I may have asked an unclear question, so let me try again.

Does the hotel or rental car, are those bills going directly to you and being paid by you in the first instance, or are they going directly to Petro, and Petro is paying them?

Directly to Petro. A.

Did I understand your testimony correctly, that at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some point in time, you were on site acting as a liaison between the Petro employees and Mr. Canning; is that

Yes. A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And if I understood your testimony correctly, and I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, but it sounds like you were frustrated, because Mr. Canning, at some point, either took the position or appeared to take the position that Petro should not charge for your work in overseeing work of Petro employees; is that correct?

MS. ROHN: Objection to the form of the question. It's compound, and it's difficult to follow.

Q. (Ms. Francis) Well, Mr. Persuad, if, at any time, you don't understand a question, please feel free to let me know, and I will -- I will restate it or rephrase it.

A.

Did you understand the question that I asked?

Α. Please rephrase it.

0. Sure.

Did you understand that Andrew Canning was taking the position that Petro should not charge for your time on the job, or that Canning was taking the position that Petro should not charge for the time of other supervisors?

A. Ma'am, Andrew Canning agree verbally for me to

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

leave St. Croix to go to St. Thomas and manage this job. He agree. He wrote it down on his notes, his minutes, that, yes, I will take the management position and liaison for this particular job. And after three months, he cannot recall this.

And remind me, again, at what point did 0. Mr. Canning agree that you would take the management position?

Α. Before this job started.

And by "this job," which -- which job? Q.

This would be RIO panel in St. Thomas. Α.

Okay. Thank you.

Do you have a recollection of how long that work or project took?

A. We were only to do three weeks of work in St. Thomas. Was scheduled, I think, for a month. Mr. Canning left the site, and all work came to a complete

And then did it resume at some point in time?

A. Not with Mr. Canning. It resumed with Merlin. There was two more panels to be done, and he called us. Without Canning being on site, the job was completed.

Q. And do you have any criticism of Mr. Figueira taking that action in calling you to complete the work?

Ma'am, could you repeat the question, please?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

136

135

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Sure.

Do you have -- do you have any criticism of Mr. Figueira's actions in that regard?

A. No.

When is the most recent occasion that you've had any communications with Mr. Figueira?

A. I cannot give you a date, but the last week, he was on St. Croix.

Q. And what was your interaction or interactions with Mr. Figueira on that occasion?

A. He thanked us for the work that we did, and he was taking off. And he wasn't sure if he was taking off the Wednesday or the Friday. So all he said was thank you for everything. Appreciate all your hard work, and that was the end of it.

Q. Did you consider yourself to have a good working relationship with Mr. Figueira?

A. A good working relationship, yes, 'cause he understand the work that was meant to be done.

Q. And did I correctly understand you to testify in response to Attorney Beckstedt's questions that you had no knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory comments against Petro by Merlin Figueira; is that correct?

That is correct. Α.

And did I also understand you to testify in

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

response to Attorney Beckstedt's questions that you have no knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory conduct against Petro, or any Petro employees, by David Smith?

That's correct.

You also mentioned an IPOS employee by the name of Coury Hodge.

Do you have any knowledge of any racist comments or discriminatory conduct against Petro, or any Petro employees, by Coury Hodge?

Q. In your role with Petro, did you ever conduct any performance evaluations for any of the Petro welders?

A. Ma'am, not performance. I explained to Mr. Beck here that pretty much as they weld, that's how they're qualified.

Q. And does Petro do annual or semi-annual job evaluations of its welders?

A. No, ma'am. It's not necessary, once they continuous welding, and their weld continuous to pass.

Q. Do you conduct performance evaluations for any Petro employees?

A. Yes, we do.

Okay. You, personally, what Petro employees do you conduct performance reevaluations of?

Our boilermaker. Our civil work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Canedialized Lac V-000312-WAL-EAH 1 I'm sorry. Boilenmaker, and who else? 2 A. Civil workers. Concrete, rebar, stuff like that. Do you have any responsibility for hiring any 3 0. 4 Petro employees? 5 A. I evaluate them, not hire them. 6 And when you say you "evaluate," do you make 7 recommendations about hiring? 8 9 Q. And how about firing employees? Do you have any 10 responsibility for firing any employees? 11 A. Again, madam, I make recommendation, and it's up 12 to the office person and Adrian to fire them. Q. Have you ever -- well, let me rephrase that. 13 14 Does Petro have any written policies about 15 discrimination or harassment? 16 Yes. A. 17 And where are those contained? Q. A. In at the office, and it's passed out to every 18 19 employee upon start date. 20 Q. Do you personally do that, or does someone else 21 handle that? 22 Office manager. Α. 23 Q. So that would be Ms. Rodriguez? 24 That's correct. Α. 25 Does Petro conduct any training about workplace 0. Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 139 CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

discrimination or harassment?

A. Not to my knowledge.

And if a Petro employee had a complaint about discrimination or harassment, to whom would those complaints be reported?

Adrian Melendez. Α.

Q. Has any Petro employee ever reported a complaint about harassment or discrimination directly to you?

Not to my knowledge.

Do you speak Spanish, sir? Q.

Α.

You testified earlier that Mr. Canning allegedly Q. said at some point, words to the effect of, he can't communicate with them. We need to get -- or you need to get better personnel than these Hispanics.

Do you recall that testimony?

Α. Yes.

And when did Mr. Canning make that statement? 0.

I cannot give you a date, ma'am, but while we were working on site on several occasion.

And is this something you personally heard on several occasions, or was it reported to you by others?

It was reported by Andrew Canning to me.

Andrew Canning reported to you that he said that Petro needs to get better personnel than these Hispanics?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

140

A. Ma'am, Andrew Canning stated that these Hispanics don't know what they're doing. He can't communicate with

Q. And my question was, were you personally present when Mr. Canning made that statement?

A. Ma'am, he told it to me.

Oh. okav. Q.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And your testimony is that he said that to you on more than one occasion?

That is correct.

Q. Was anyone else present with you when Mr. Canning said that on any of these -- the occasions that you recall?

No. ma'am. Α.

Q. And was that statement a reference to the welders?

Α.

And did I understand you correctly that you did not directly report to Merlin Figueira or David Smith that Andrew Canning had made a statement to the affect of, We need to get better personnel than these Hispanics?

A. No. Never reported it.

At some point, you testified that Andrew Canning made a statement to the effect of some work of Petro was not up to code.

Do you recall that testimony?

Andrew Canning, yes.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. And did that occur on one occasion, or more than one occasion?

More than one occasion. Α.

How many? 0.

Ma'am, I can't say. It's more than one. Two, three. I really can't say.

Q. Were those occasions in one-on-one conversations between you and Mr. Canning?

Α. Yes.

Q. So no one else was present?

Α. No.

Ma'am, in meetings, also, he would say these things are not up to code. And when asked of Andrew Canning what code, he would not have known what code.

And then the general manager, Merlin or David Smith, at the time, would ask, Can you tell me what code he's talking about?

would ask you --

Α. Yes.

> 0. -- is that correct?

Myself or Adrian Melendez, whoever is on site, yes.

Q. And at that point, would you or Mr. Melendez respond?

We would not respond. We'll go get him the code.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bring it to him. Here it is. And he'll go -- he will take that back to Andrew Canning.

Q. And I believe you said -- you testified something to the effect of Merlin would look up the code and determine that Mr. Canning was wrong.

Did I understand you correctly?

A. Ma'am, just like we have a QC, IPOS, Vitol, they have a QC department in Holland. They would send these codes out to them, and the QC department will come back and say, Hey, this is correct what these guys presented to you.

But Andrew, on the other hand, would not have to present anything at all. All he would say, this is not to code. This is not to spec without any proof.

But then someone would check that, correct?

Α. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

Like I said, we would give the information to IPOS, David Smith or Merlin, and he would send it off to

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\scriptscriptstyle\bullet}$ So at that point, you understood that IPOS wasn't just taking Mr. Canning's word for it, but was doing some further due diligence?

MS. ROHN: Objection to the form of the question. Calls for speculation.

(Ms. Francis) Mr. Persuad, based upon your testimony, was it your understanding that Mr. Smith or

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Mr. Figueira were doing some due diligence to investigate or try to confirm the accuracy or inaccuracy of Mr. Canning's statements?

Yes. A.

Q. You testified at some point that you had some, what you called, off-the-record discussions with Mr.

7 Figueira about Mr. Canning?

> Yes. ma'am. Α.

And did you say that you had more than one such Q. meeting?

One meeting, ma'am. A.

Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I had misunderstood you. Thank you.

And when was that?

I cannot recall.

To the best of your knowledge and recollection, as you sit here today, what -- well, first of all, was that an in-person meeting, a phone meeting, or what was the format?

A. In-person meeting.

St. Thomas or St. Croix?

St. Croix.

Where, in St. Croix? 0.

> Α. At IPOS.

Q. And was anyone else present?

A. No, ma'am.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

143

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

144

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. To the best of your recollection, what did you discuss or say to Mr. Figueira?

A. Prior, we had these three meetings with everybody from IPOS. David Smith and Merlin. Nothing came about it. I had enough of it, and approached Merlin directly, Hey, something had to give here, 'cause every time this guy say nothing is wrong with us, we're the greatest people around, and after the meeting, he tells a whole different side. And I got tired of it and said, Merlin, something has to be done. And he listened to me. I don't know if he did anything about it or not. I really can't say.

Q. And the comment that you were complaining about to Mr. Figueira was Mr. Canning saying that your folks were the greatest guys in the group meeting, but saying something else either before or after those meetings?

A. Well, all the meeting came about because Andrew Canning was, like I said, have this derogative stuff about Petro Industrial and their employees.

At the meeting, everything was great. After the meeting, two days later, he's back to his old ways.

Q. And what I'm trying to understand is what is it that you -- when you said something -- yeah, I'm just trying to understand. What is it that you said or recall saying to Mr. Figueira in a meeting that occurred after these three meetings that you described?

A. I said, Andrew Canning is picking on Petro and its employees. He's harassing us unnecessarily. And this cannot continue, because it's making the work environment very intolerable.

Q. Do you recall saying anything else?

No, ma'am.

Did you refer to Mr. Canning in that discussion as racist?

A. Yes.

And what specific -- what, specifically, did you say about Mr. Canning that characterized him as racist?

A. Pretty much, I said to him, was our work is to par. Our employees are to par, and he's never satisfied. He's always picking and calling names to our employees, which is making for a hostile environment in the workplace.

Q. Did you say anything else?

No, ma'am. Α.

(Respite.)

Q. Did you, at any point, review any of the Versa reports about the welding, such as the one that Attorney Beckstedt showed you earlier?

A. No, ma'am.

And when is the first occasion that you had any interactions with Mr. Castro?

Ma'am, can't recollect a Mr. Castro.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So I believe you testified something to the effect 1 2 of regarding Mr. Castro's reputation in the industry? Ma'am, is that Guillermo Castro? 3 4 Correct. 5 A. I'm sorry. I don't know his last name. I know 6 him as Guillermo. Sorry. 7 Q. I believe his last name is Castro. A. I'm sorry. I don't know his last name. I know 8 9 him as Guillenno. 10 would you please repeat the question? 11 O. Sure. 12 Do you recall making some statements during your deposition testimony earlier about Mr. Guillermo's 13 14 reputation in the industry? 15 Yes. ma'am. 16 Okay. And what is the basis for the statements 17 that you made? A. Ma'am, he's -- like I said, being his reputation, 18 19 he has pretty much invented the phase array/shear wave 20 method. 21 Q. He invented what? 22 A form of inspection. And he's pretty much worked 23 for every inspection company in North America, Europe, South 24 America, and his word is pretty much trusted as an

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Q. And what form of inspection did Mr. Guillermo Castro invent?

A. It's called a shear wave.

MS. FRANCIS: Susan, did you get that?
THE COURT REPORTER: Shear wave.

- Q. (Ms. Francis) And when did he invent that?
- A. Ma'am, I'm not sure. I don't know.
- Q. And how do you know he invented that?
- **A.** Acuren. He worked for one of the major companies in North America, Acuren. And when he invented it, it was published in the industry journals.
- Q. And did someone from Acuren give you that information, sir?
- A. No, ma'am. When he came to Limetree Bay, someone said he's the guy who invented it. And at the time, with HOVENSA, they usually have all these industrial journals that they share with their employees, and he was in one of these.
- Q. Other than Acuren, are you familiar with any other company that Mr. Guillermo Castro has worked with?
 - A. Versa.
 - Q. And when do you believe he worked for Versa?
 - A. Pardon me?
 - Q. When do you believe he worked for Versa?
 - A. I don't know, ma'am.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

148

147

147

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. Do you know of any other company he's worked with?

A. No

inspector.

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q. Do you know how he was employed in 2021?

A. No.

Q. And as I understand it, you didn't have any direct communications with him concerning certification of any Petro welders, correct?

A. That is correct.

Q. And when's the most recent occasion you had any communications with him?

A. I can't recollect, but the gentleman passed away now, John Carter, that was quite -- 10 years ago, maybe.

Q. I'm sorry. Ten years ago is the last time you had a communication with Mr. Castro?

A. Roughly. I think so.

Yes. ma'am.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} $\;$ Do you have any knowledge about his present whereabouts?

A. No.

(Respite.)

Q. with respect to your testimony about the gate logs at the facility, did I understand you to say that in St. Thomas, the security guard would ask the individual entering whether that's a Petro employee or someone else, and signed the log, correct?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. Okay. And then did you say the security would write in the time that that person entered and left, or does the actual visitor to the facility write that in?

A. In St. Thomas, the individual would write that in.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \mbox{Okay.}$ They would write their name and their time they were entering or leaving?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And in St. Croix, I believe you testified that the security guard would make all of those entries, name and time of entry or departure?

 $\hbox{\bf A.} \quad \hbox{Yes, ma'am.} \quad \hbox{It's a smaller facility here in}$ St. Croix, compared to St. Thomas.

Q. Do you have any knowledge of Mr. Canning ever making any recommendations, or recommending Petro for any projects or jobs?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And what's your knowledge in that regard?

A. The Aggreko project on St. Croix.

Q. When was that?

A. Sorry, ma'am. Can't -- can't recollect the date. (Respite.)

Q. With respect to the welders, was there -- that were used in 2021, was there a lead welder, or someone who is directing the other welders?

A. Yes, ma'am. There was a supervisor on the job.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1 And who was that? 2 A. At first, it was Elias Rivera, and they were removed from the site as a recommendation of Andrew Canning, 3 4 and Ricardo took his spot. 5 Q. And what's Ricardo's last name? 6 I can't -- at this time, I can't remember. 7 0. So was Ricardo a welder? 8 No, ma'am. He was the lead person and a pipe A. 9 fitter. 10 Oh. Was he a resident of Puerto Rico or a Q. 11 resident of the Virgin Islands? 12 Puerto Rico. Q. And was Mr. Rivera a resident of Puerto Rico or 13 14 the Virgin Islands? 15 A. Puerto Rico. 16 Do you know if any of the welders were bilingual? Yes, ma'am. 17 Α. Q. 18 And what is your knowledge in that regard? 19 Ma'am, I don't speak Spanish, and I communicate Α. 20 clearly with everyone on site. 21 Q. You had no negotiations with any contracts between 22 IPOS and Petro, correct? 23 That's correct. Α.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

And what was the purpose of your trip to Houston

A. Ma'am, I went on a weekend getaway, and while we were there, we had a call from Merlin. If you guys are in town, stop by the head office and say hello to these people.

 $\mathbf{Q.}$ So Mr. Figueira suggested that you meet with Mr. Horwitz, and the other individual that you testified about?

MS. ROHN: That's what he just said.

Q. (Ms. Francis) Is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. You testified that from time to time, you don't -either don't receive a paycheck, or it's delayed, due to Petro receivables.

As you sit here today, are you up to date on all paychecks, or are any of them delayed?

A. Yes, ma'am. I'm up to date on everything.

Q. And are you currently managing or acting as a Petro representative for any Petro projects?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Okay. And what projects are those?

A. We're presently at Cruzan Rum -- Diageo with a shutdown. Diageo, they have a few projects beside the shutdown to improve their production.

 $\label{eq:we're presently involved at Cruzan Rum,} \\ \text{upgrading some of their systems.}$

Q. Any others?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

152

151

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

that you testified about?

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Not at the moment I can remember.

Q. And when did you start the Cruzan Rum work?

A. Right now, it's basically trying to put numbers together, and it's been going for over a year right now until Cruzan Rum make a decision when to pull the trigger and do the job.

 $\mathbf{Q.}\quad \text{Okay.}\quad \text{So there's no actual work being done at } \\ \text{Cruzan?}$

A. Not at the moment.

 \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} Has Petro performed work at Cruzan Rum in the past?

A. Ma'am, we perform work at Cruzan Rum regular throughout the year. And as they approve our budgets or approve our jobs, we will continue.

Q. And there's work currently being performed at Diageo?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And when did that begin?

A. It's been ongoing for probably four weeks.

Q. Prior to four weeks ago, had Petro done any work at Diageo?

A. Yes, ma'am. Ongoing. They have a shutdown, and they have their maintenance. We're presently on their shutdown work right now. Throughout the year, we have a presence at Diageo doing their maintenance.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. And when did Petro start doing maintenance work for Diageo?

A. I would say about three years ago.

Q. Did you have any work in -- any involvement, rather, in any work that Petro did directly for WAPA?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Okay. Tell me what involvement you had, and during what period.

A. The job was a diesel line in St. Thomas from the port to storage. It had sprung several leaks. Went in and did the repairs for them.

Q. when was that?

A. Not sure, ma'am.

Q. Okay. Was it within the past three years?

A. Ye

Q. Are there any other projects or work sites that you have worked on for Petro between the start of the pandemic and the present?

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} {\bf A.} & Yes, ma'am. & Waste Management on St. Croix. & Waste Management on St. Thomas. & Worked on the gas turbine system for Frenchman's Reef. \\ \end{tabular}$

Q. When did the Frenchman's Reef occur?

23 A. Earlier on this year.

Q. It's concluded?

A. Pardon me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Canedialized Lac V-000312-WAL-EAH Is it concluded? 1 2 Α. Yes. And what -- do you know what entity Petro was 3 Q. 4 working for? 5 Polaris, at the time. A. 6 Say that again? 0. 7 A. Polaris. 8 Any additional work scheduled for -- to be Q. 9 performed with Polaris? 10 Yes, ma'am. 11 What additional work do you expect to be involved 12 in? 13 A. Sugar Bay Resort. Frenchman Cove. The new hotel 14 they plan to build in Havensight. 15 Q. And does Petro actually have contracts for any of 16 that work? A. Ma'am, it's all tentative. They have to go 17 through and get their budget, and then they'll let us know 18 19 20 Q. When did Petro start performing work for Waste 21 Management in St. Thomas? 22 MS. ROHN: Okay. This is not -- this client 23 is not testifying for Petro. 24 MS. FRANCIS: I understand. 25 MS. ROHN: So I don't know why you keep Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 155 CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

asking when did Petro do something.

MS. FRANCIS: Fair enough.

(Ms. Francis) Mr. Melendez -- I mean, Mr. Persuad, I am only asking you about your personal knowledge. I'm not asking you about anything you don't have knowledge about.

To the best of your personal knowledge, when were you first involved in work that was being performed by Petro for Waste Management in St. Thomas?

A. Earlier part of this year, we started putting a proposal together.

And what was the nature of the work?

A. Sandblasting. Painting. Repairing of motors. Replacing of motors and fans. Mechanical work.

Q. And has there been actual work done for Waste Management that you are aware of, or just a proposal that you are aware of?

A. The one in St. Thomas, proposal; the one in St. Croix, we actually have been doing several jobs for

Q. Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, when did -- when were you first involved in Petro work for Waste Management in St. Croix?

A. Early part of this year again.

Is that work for Waste Management on St. Croix continuing, or has it concluded?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

A. We concluded a few. We got a few proposal. And like I said, everything is based upon budget.

Q. After you had a conversation with Merlin about Mr. Canning that you testified about before, did you have any further direct interactions with Mr. Canning after that point?

A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

(Respite.)

Q. And what specific direct interactions did you recall with Mr. Canning after the conversation with Mr. Figueira that you testified about?

A. Ma'am, Mr. Canning represented Vitol on site, so whatever work had to be done, it had to come from Mr. Canning.

Q. And am I correct in understanding that the change you testified about was that at some point, Mr. Canning would just communicate with you, as opposed to communicating directly with Petro employees; is that correct?

A. Yes.

MS. FRANCIS: I have no further questions. I will yield to counsel for Mr. Canning.

MR. SIMPSON: Thank you. Do you guys want to take a break before we continue?

MS. FRANCIS: Sure.

MS. ROHN: Yes, please.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

MR. SIMPSON: Okay. Five minutes?

156

MS. ROHN: Sounds good.

(Short recess taken.)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q. Mr. Persuad, my name is Andy Simpson. I represent Andrew Canning in this matter. I've got a few follow-up questions. I will try not to repeat myself with questions that have already been asked, but it's hard to hear you, especially in the beginning, so there may be some repetition. I apologize.

You told Attorney Beckstedt that Mr. Canning referred to the Petro employees as islanders or locals; is that correct?

Α.

And I think you also said that at one point, he referred to someone as being Hispanic?

Are there any other racial or discriminatory comments that you believe Mr. Canning made, other than those three you told us about?

A. Not -- not to my knowledge.

To your knowledge, did anyone, other than yourself, ever hear Mr. Canning make such comments?

Yes. Α.

Contentival pinks lung v- 0003312-WAL-EAH Document #: 305 ERAM PERSILIAN C+ 0065608/24 Page 40 of 45						
1	Q.	Who else heard him say that?	1	writing about that comment?		
2	A.	Calvin Schmidt.	2	A. No.		
3	Q.	I'm sorry. Who?	3	Q. Did you ever put anything in writing about that		
4	A.	Calvin Schmidt.	4	comment?		
5	Q.	And were you present when he made the comment to	5	A. No.		
6	Mr. Schm	idt?	6	Q. Other than the did Mr. Schmidt ever report to		
7	A.	Yes.	7	you any other such comments coming from Mr. Canning?		
8	Q.	And that first name was Alvin?	8	A. Mr. Schmidt reported another time that our work		
9	A.	Calvin.	9	ethics, according to Andrew Canning, and our employees, it's		
10	Q.	Calvin. Sorry.	10	not to par. And complained about our boilermakers being		
11		And what was Mr. Canning speaking to you	11	lazy islanders.		
12	or Mr. Sc	hmidt or both when he made the comment that you are	12	Q. And, again, this was a comment that was made to		
13	referrin	g to now?	13	you by Mr. Schmidt, but you were not there to hear		
14	A.	He made the comments to Mr. Schmidt.	14	Mr. Canning say that to Mr. Schmidt, correct?		
15	Q.	And what, specifically, did he say to Mr. Schmidt?	15	A. That's correct.		
16	A.	Mr. Schmidt relayed to me that Mr. Canning has	16	Q. Are you aware of anyone else who claims that		
17	made den	ogatory remarks toward our employees. And these	17	Mr. Canning made a racial or discriminatory remark to them		
18	Hispanics	that we have working here, they're not qualified.	18	or about any Petro Industrial employees?		
19	Q.	Were you present when Mr. Canning made this	19	A. No.		
20	comment	to Mr. Schmidt?	20	Q. Did you ever record any of the comments you heard		
21	A.	No.	21	Mr. Canning make?		
22	Q.	So this is, what you're telling me now, is based	22	A. NO.		
23	upon a r	eport Mr. Schmidt gave to you?	23	Q. Did you ever record anything that Mr. Canning		
24	A.	Verbally, yes.	24	said?		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. And did Mr. Schmidt ever put anything in

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

160

159

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. Do you know of anyone who recorded anything that Mr. Canning ever said?

A. No.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And is it my under -- is my understanding correct, that other than a complaint you made to Merlin, you never complained to anybody about alleged racial or discriminatory comments by Mr. Canning?

MS. ROHN: Objection. Does not conform with his prior testimony.

(Mr. Simpson) You may answer.

Repeat the question, please. Α.

Sure. Well, let me rephrase it. Q.

I believe you told us earlier that you complained following one of the three meetings to Mr. Merlin Figueira about racial or discriminatory comments made by Mr. Canning.

Did I understand that correctly?

Yes.

Q. Okay. Did you ever complain, other than that particular instance, to anyone, about Mr. Canning making racial or discriminatory comments?

Q. And in that meeting with -- or conversation with Mr. Figueira, what specifically did you say to him about Mr. Canning saying something that was racial or

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS discriminatory?

A. No.

A. According to what I said to Mr. Merlin was, Andrew Canning repeatedly discriminating against us as calling us islanders, locals, and apart from that, our work ethics that he complained about.

As far as Merlin see it, our work ethic was fine. That's according to Merlin. But Andrew Canning had issue with that, and had an issue with the employees at the

Q. I'm sorry. I had trouble understanding all of vour answer.

Did you say that Merlin agreed that there was a problem with Mr. Canning?

Q. Did he specifically agree that there was a problem related to race or discrimination?

A. Sir, I don't know what Merlin was relating to, but he clearly stated Andrew Canning had a issue with Petro Industrial and their employees.

Q. On those three meetings you told us about, how would those meetings be scheduled?

A. I think by e-mail form from the IPOS -- IPOS general manager at the time, whoever it may be.

Q. And what specifically was the topic or topics for these meetings?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The performance of Petro Industrial and their emplovees. Q. But there would be something -- there would be

something either on the subject line of the e-mail or the body of the e-mail that let you know that that was going to be discussed at the meeting?

MS. ROHN: Objection to form. Not a question.

0. (Mr. Simpson) Is that correct?

Can you repeat the question, please? A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

So am I correct that either the subject of those e-mails or the body of the e-mails informed you that the meeting, one of those three meetings, were going to be about Petro Industrial's performance?

A. Yes. We would have asked the general manager for this meeting, 'cause Andrew Canning was just getting out of control on several occasion.

 \mathbf{Q}_{ullet} So you said the e-mail scheduling the meeting came from IPOS, but it was someone from Petro that actually requested the meeting?

That's correct. A.

And who, specifically, from Petro requested the Q. meeting?

It would have been Adrian or myself, verbally.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

So none of these requests to IPOS would have been in an e-mail?

Α. Not to my knowledge.

Where did these meetings occur?

At IPOS site.

St. Thomas or St. Croix? 0.

St. Croix.

So all three meetings were on St. Croix?

Α. Yes.

Did you ever complain to Mr. Canning that you Q. thought he was acting in a racist or discriminatory manner?

I told Mr. Canning he run this place like a plantation, and we're no longer in the slavery days.

When did you tell him that?

I told him that on two occasions. I'm terrible with dates. I cannot remember.

So you said you told him two different times? Q.

A. Yes.

Do you remember for either time what kind of work Petro was doing at the time?

For maintenance, he would follow our employees around while they were working. Birddogging them. Standing over them directly on a mediocre task. Someone picking up trash. Someone cleaning out a gutter.

And you told him that that was similar to working

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

164

163

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

on a plantation?

A. Yes, because if the guys leave to go get a garbage bag, for example, he wants to know, where did they go, how long did they take, and they are walking into the control room to their job site, which is no more than 4-5 minutes, and he's asking these questions. I haven't seen you for half a day. Where have you been? Is the work being completed? And he's on site. He's making his rounds, walking around, every two hours.

Okay. And what's wrong with that?

A. There's nothing wrong with that, sir. It's just that the harassment of our employees, and asking them a simple task as cleaning a drain, do you know what you're doing? Are you qualified to clean a drain?

Q. What did you understand Mr. Canning's role was with respect to the propane projects out there?

A. As far as I could recollect, Andrew Canning said he's the representative for IPOS. For -- I'm sorry. Not for IPOS. For Vitol.

Q. So is this just a case of you not liking Mr. Canning's management style?

A. It's not about liking manage. I've worked with several different people, besides Andrew Canning. But Mr. Canning went above and beyond to make the work environment hostile.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Q. Were you aware that Mr. Canning recommended Petro to IPOS?

MS. ROHN: Objection to the form. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer.

Can you repeat that, please? A.

(Mr. Simpson) Sure.

Were you aware that Mr. Canning recommended to IPOS that it replace Vivot with Petro?

Not to my knowledge.

Is the term "islander" or "local" or "Hispanic" the worst thing you ever heard Mr. Canning say, from a racial or discriminatory perspective?

> That sums it up. A.

Q. So your answer is yes?

Α. Yes.

Have you spoken with Mr. Canning at any time since the termination of the Petro Industrial contract with IPOS?

Yes. I bought him two beers: One for myself, and one for Adrian.

0. One from you and one from Adrian?

I said, one from me, and I told him this other one is from Adrian.

Q. Okay. When did you do that?

I was at the Palms about, I don't know, six months. Six to eight months. Can't really recollect. Was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the last time I saw him. Q. Did you have any other discussion with him, other than that?

A. No. He was with someone there, and, you know, just being the person that I am.

So because he was with someone else, you did not have further conversation?

A. No. He either had a meeting or he had a discussion going on, so I did not want to interrupt him.

Q. Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Canning recommended that Mr. Rivera be removed from the site.

Do you know why that recommendation was made?

A. Pardon me? I did not hear that. Please repeat that.

Q. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You told us that Mr. Canning recommended that Mr. Rivera be removed from the site?

Α. Yes.

Okay. Do you know why he recommended -- he made that recommendation?

A. Yes.

Why did he make that recommendation? 0.

A. To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Rivera correct Andrew Canning on several occasion. And every time he corrected him, he will have gotten upset with Mr. Rivera.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

And all you had to do, do what I ask. It's not the correct thing to do, and he would not have done it.

What did Mr. Rivera correct Mr. Canning about?

A. Mr. Canning recommend to Mr. Rivera, for example, if a pipe was bent. Turn the pipe around and bolt it up. It will straighten it up. It's a 6-inch pipe. No bolt can straighten up no 6-inch pipe. So Mr. Rivera would say, You know, that's impossible. I am not doing that task.

Mr. Rivera, again, on several occasion, when it comes to -- on the welding side of things, Andrew Canning wanted him to do certain things, which did not make any sense, according to Mr. Rivera. And said, you know, hey, we have a plan. We're proceeding with our plan. Please don't stop us. Mr. Canning would reinforce, I am the Vitol representative. And if you can't do what I want, I'll escort you off site.

Q. What, specifically, was Mr. Canning recommending be done with regard to welding?

A. Again, with these RIO panels. We're supposed to drill into the side of this huge mound, hitting some heavy material that we use diamond tips to cut into them, and we was still having a problem. Immediately we went to Mr. Canning and report this. Mr. Canning said, That is not true. There's nothing there. You guys are being lazy. You guys should be replaced immediately.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

168

167

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

We brung Mr. Canning out, and David Nagle. Took a flashlight. Showed it to him what was the problem, and he continue saying, These guys are lazy. Worthless.

Q. What happened to the completion of that job?

The guys did not listen to Mr. Canning and A. performed the task according to how they know, and they got through with it.

Q. The same people finished this task?

A. The same people finished the task. Like I said, this is more than one occasion Andrew Canning had it out for Mr. Rivera.

Q. Have you ever heard the expression, If it's not written, it didn't happen?

A. No.

Q. You indicated that Mr. Canning said that you and Mr. Melendez were worthless for running a company.

Do you recall that testimony?

Yes. A.

Did you actually hear him say that? Q.

Α. To our faces.

Who else was present?

It was in the evening on the Aggreko job, and that's what he said to us. At the same time, he said we were robbing the company, and he will report us to the highest authority.

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

So that was not in a face-to-face meeting, that was in an e-mail?

No, it was face to face. He told us to our face.

Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I thought you said it was in an e-mail. That's why I was confused.

No, I did not.

When -- when did that occur?

I cannot give you a date, but it was during the Aggreko project, and it was about 8 o'clock, 9 o'clock in the evening.

Q. And other than you and Mr. Melendez, was anyone else present?

Α. No.

MR. SIMPSON: I have no further questions. Thank you very much.

MS. ROHN: Can we have a short break, and I can talk to my client?

(Short recess taken.)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. ROHN:

Thank you.

Mr. Persuad? Persuad is the correct way to pronounce it?

> That's correct. A.

Q. Persuad

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Persuad, did you ever hear Mr. Canning advocating that IPOS or Vitol should be hiring stateside companies instead of these local companies?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

- Q. And how often would he do that?
- As far as every job that we did perfectly correct and came in on budget. As much time as we did, 5-6 times.
 - Okay. So frequently?
 - Α. Frequently.
 - And who would he say these things to? Q.
- He would say directly to us. He would recommend it to -- on several occasion to David Smith, Merlin. The higher-ups at Vitol.
- Q. And did you ever hear him say why he thought they should be hiring stateside companies instead of your local Virgin Islands company?
 - MR. SIMPSON: Objection.
 - 0. (Ms. Rohn) You can answer.
- 'Cause he said we do not -- Petro do not know what they're doing. They don't have qualified people.
- Q. Now, there came a time, am I correct, that Traeger Brothers met directly with you guys and IPOS?
 - A.
 - Q. Were you at that meeting?
 - A. Yes.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

Okay. And did they make any statements about Mr. Canning and his inability to properly control the jobs?

Α.

And what --

MR. SIMPSON: Objection.

- (Ms. Rohn) And what did they say in that regard?
- Mr. Canning is stalling every job that he has. He does not want to move forward. And in turn, we were supposed to be helping. And sometimes the blame he was trying to put on us for not proceeding.
- Okay. And did Mr. -- did the Traeger Brothers at that meeting -- who was the person at that meeting? Do you recall the name?
- A. Dave Tillman. The guy from KITZ valve. I can't remember his name. The company name. The guy name. But he's directly from the company that was trying to source these valve for three years. Etienne, was another representative. Etienne for Traeger Brothers. Merlin, Coury Hodge, Rawle Granger, and myself.
- Q. And the person who was saying this, what was the name of the person who was saying this?
 - That the valves were available?
 - No. That Canning was stalling the jobs? ο.
 - It was presented to Merlin. Α.
 - But who said that? Q.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

172

171 CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- CROSS

Merlin. Α.

- No. Who told Merlin that?
- Oh, the representative. Traeger Brother. Α.
- And who was the person from Traeger Brothers? Q.
- Dave Tillman. A.
- Dave Tillman. Okay. Q.

And did Mr. Tillman, at that time, say he was doing to have that conversation with Mr. Canning about that?

- Α.
- Q. What did he say about going to tell Mr. Canning about what his position was?
- A. The valves were readily available. All he had to do is make a decision, and the valves would be here.
- Q. Okay. And after that meeting where you guys met with Traeger Brothers without Mr. Canning, what was -- what was the reaction that Mr. Canning had towards your company?
 - A. I would say --

MR. SIMPSON: Objection.

- A. -- the straw that broke the camel's back. He was very malicious and spiteful then.
- (Ms. Rohn) And what kinds of things did he do to be malicious and spiteful?
- A. He started like going out more in the jobs. Finding nitpicking on little small details on our work ethics. Our proposals. As far as the timing, you know,

everything was just back and forth with him.

- Q. And was it after this meeting with the Traeger Brothers that all of a sudden you were asked for qualifications of your welders?
 - That is correct.
- And do you know where that request for qualifications from your welders actually came from?
 - Α.
- Q. And as to Mr. Canning's negative context -comments about you and your crew and the company, did that increase or decrease after the Traeger Brother meeting?
 - It increased.

MR. SIMPSON: Objection.

- (Ms. Rohn) And how would you describe the Q. increase?
- A. He was frequently more on the job. Frequently nitpicking, like I said. And pretty much he went after our welders and Elias, as far as on this one job, remove him from site.

MS. ROHN: I have no further questions.

MR. BECKSTEDT: I've got follow-up to that questioning.

23 24 25

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. BECKSTEDT: Q. You said that you -- did you personally hear, with 3 4 your own ears, Andrew Canning advocating to hire stateside 5 people? 6 To our faces, yes. Α. 7 Q. Okay. And you said that occurred five or six 8 times, right? 9 A. That is correct. 10 Q. And then you said that Andrew Canning recommended 11 it to the higher-ups, to Vitol, right? 12 And by "it," you mean --13 Q. Α. David Smith. 14 15 Well, wait a second. Okay. Well --Q. 16 MS. ROHN: Let him ask the question. 17 Gotcha. MS. ROHN: I haven't kicked you once today. 18 19 (Mr. Beckstedt) You said that Andrew Canning 20 recommended it to higher-ups. To Vitol. When you say, "recommended it to higher-ups," 21 22 are you talking about hiring stateside companies? 23 Recommending hiring stateside companies, yes. 24 All right. And you said to the higher-ups to

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

David Smith. Α.

David Smith. ο.

All right. And that's the only higher-up to Vitol that -- that you're -- that he recommended it to?

A. To my knowledge.

All right. And what informs your knowledge that Andrew Canning made that recommendation or statement to David Smith?

A. He prove it to us. We're supposed to do a job, small job, take three weeks. Took the job away from us, and it took a year before the piping came back.

Q. Okay. First of all, I want to understand that. I'm going to break it down.

Did you actually hear or observe David Canning make the recommendation to David Smith?

So he did it in your presence? Q.

A. Yes.

Okay. Explain that. Q.

We were in one of these meetings with IPOS, a weekly meeting about jobs moving forward. We gave our proposal, and he recommended he wants to give it to somebody in the States, do the job, versus us being here.

Q. Okay. And what was the job that was at issue at that time?

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

176

175

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- REDIRECT

What?

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Vitol.

Q. What is the job he was recommending that they hire a stateside company?

Replacing some 1-inch piping. Α.

Okay. Is that the only time you heard Andrew Canning recommend to David Smith that they hire a stateside firm?

No. He made it, that statement, several times. And I think after this first time that he tried it, and it took a year instead of weeks. In my opinion, it fell on deaf ears.

What fell on deaf ear?

The recommendation to get another company from the stateside.

So then they ultimately did use Petro? Q.

Α. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And so Andrew Canning told -said in meetings that you were present at that he was advocating to hire stateside companies. And you heard him say that, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And you also heard him say that directly to David Smith, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. But you never were present or know of any CHETRAM PERSUAD -- REDIRECT

time that Andrew Canning advanced that recommendation up to any actual Vitol employees?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. All right. And with the exception of, I guess, the one job for replacing 1-inch piping, those recommendations by Andrew Canning fell on deaf ears?

Α.

Q. Okay. But am I correct in understanding that there was one job for replacing 1-inch piping, where they did actually use a stateside company?

Α. Yeah.

12 And it just took a long time? 0.

> Took over a year. Α.

14 Got it. Okay.

15 Do you know the name of that company?

> No. Α.

Okay. And do you know about the time frame of when that occurred?

A. I know it was in the pump. I know the job. I can't tell you. I'm sorry.

Q. And what about the job can you tell me that would help me to find out which job it was?

Replacing the 1-inch piping in the pump alley.

In the pump alley.

Is there more than one pump alley?

There's one in St. Thomas; one is here, St. Croix. 1 1 company" in that conversation? 2 Q. It was the St. Croix pump alley? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And did he specifically refer to Petro as a "local 3 A. ο. 4 MR. BECKSTEDT: Okay. All right. I don't 4 company" in that conversation? 5 have any further questions on that. 5 Α. 6 Simone or Andy, do you have any questions 6 And have you seen any part of that conversation Q. 7 further? 7 documented in any e-mail report? 8 MR. SIMPSON: I think I have a follow-up. 8 9 I'll let Simone, if that's the order, if she has something. 9 0. And you didn't complain about that statement 10 MR. BECKSTEDT: Simone, you're on mute. 10 either at the time? 11 MS. FRANCIS: Yeah, I'll let you go. I may 11 It was said in a broad spectrum with David Smith, the general manager, the employees of IPOS, and myself, and 12 have. I'm not quite ready, so why don't you go? 12 13 MR. SIMPSON: Sure. 13 Adrian Melendez. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 Okay. I don't think you answered the question I 15 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: asked. 16 Q. With respect to the statement that you heard 16 Was that conversation documented in any Canning say that IPOS should not -- should -- I'm sorry, 17 fashion? 17 18 should hire off-island companies, did he specifically use 18 Not to my knowledge. Α. 19 the term "off-island companies," or did he simply recommend 19 MR. SIMPSON: I have no further questions. 20 a particular company or companies? 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 21 A. It was a broad statement that he made that the 21 BY MS. FRANCIS: 22 local company, which is Petro Industrial, stateside 22 Q. Mr. Persuad, I just have one point of 23 companies can do a whole lot better job than Petro 23 qualification or clarification. 24 Industrial can do. 24 Did you say that you recently, within the 25 25 Did he specifically use the term "stateside past six months, ran into Andrew Canning on island and Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161 Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161

179

CHETRAM PERSUAD -- RECROSS

bought him two beers?

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

A. Six, eight months. I can't remember exactly the date, but the last time I spoke to him, I was at The Palms, and I bought him two beers.

Q. And this is the same individual that you contend is racist and discriminated against local companies?

A. That's Andrew Canning perspective. That's not my perspective. I'm good with everybody.

Q. So your testimony is that you're good with Mr. Canning?

A. Not the way he treated us; but as an individual, as a human being, yes.

MS. FRANCIS: I have no further questions.

MS. ROHN: I think that's a wrap.

MR. BECKSTEDT: Thank you, sir.

(Whereupon the deposition concluded at 3:37 p.m.)

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

180

I, SUSAN C. NISSMAN, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public for the U.S. Virgin Islands, Christiansted, St. Croix, do hereby certify that the above named witness, CHETRAM PERSUAD, was first duly sworn to testify the truth: that said witness did thereupon testify as is set forth; that the answers of said witness to the oral interrogatories propounded by counsel were taken by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my

I further certify that the facts stated in the caption hereto are true; and that all of the proceedings in the course of the hearing of said deposition are correctly and accurately set forth herein.

personal direction and supervision.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney or relative of either party, nor financially or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF. I have hereunto set my hand as such Registered Merit Reporter on this the 8th day of June, 2023, at Christiansted, St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands.

/s/ Susan C. Nissman

My Commission Expires: June 28, 2023 Susan C. Nissman.

Susan C. Nissman, RPR-RMR (340) 773-8161